

Washington, Thursday, May 5, 1960

Contents

Agricultural Marketing Servi Notices: Dewitt Auction et al.; deposting of stockyards Rules and Regulations: Special milk program for children; maximum rates of reimbursement for schools	3907 3883	Standard instrument approach procedures; miscellaneous alterations (2 documents) 3884, 30 Federal Communications Commission Notices:	- m	Fish and Wildlife Service RULES AND REGULATIONS: Salmon steaks, frozen; U.S. standards for grades
Agriculture Department See Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation. Alien Property Office Notices: Intention to return vested property:		Frank, Nathan (WNBE-TV) 3: Fritz, Edward C., Jr 3: Michigan Broadcasting Co. (WBCK) et al 3: Molnar, Paul J., and Ohio Music Corp 3: Nelson, Wayne M., and Fred	8902 8904 8902 8903	(5 documents) 3898 Health, Education, and Welfare Department See Food and Drug Administration; Saint Elizabeths Hospital. Interior Department See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.
Hahn, Eleonore, and Eleonore Lallinger Schulz, Hildegarde Franke, et al Commerce Department See Federal Maritime Board. Commodity Credit Corporati Notices:		Oil Transport Co., Inc	เดกร	Interstate Commerce Commission Notices: Motor carrier: Operation in Hawaii
April sales list; amendment Defense Department See Engineers Corps. Engineers Corps RULES AND REGULATIONS: Danger zones; Gulf of Mexico, Florida	•	Table of assignments, television broadcast stations; Kalamazoo,		See Wage and Hour Division. Land Management Bureau Notices: Alaska; withdrawal and reservation of lands, proposals and terminations (5 documents) 3901, 3902 RULES AND REGULATIONS: Alaska; public land order 3892
Federal Aviation Agency Notices: Lindbergh Field, location; hearing PROPOSED RULE MAKING: Coded jet route; withdrawal of proposed modification RULES AND REGULATIONS: Airworthiness directive; Brantly B-2 helicopter Coded jet route; modification	3902 3898 3883	Inc		Saint Elizabeths Hospital Proposed Rule Making: Autopsies
				3881

CONTENTS

Treasury Department

Notices: Offerings: Treasury certificates of indebtedness, Series B-1961, 3900 4% percent___ Treasury notes, Series A-1965, 4% percent_2____ 3901 Surety companies acceptable on Federal bonds: 3900 Continental Insurance Co_____ Niagara Insurance Co.....

Wage and Hour Division

Notices: Learner employment certificates; issuance to various industries (2 documents)_____ 3908, 3909

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

6 CFR 502	3883
14 CFR	
507	3883
602	3883
609 (2 documents) 3884	, 3888
Proposed Rules:	
602	3898
21 CFR PROPOSED RULES: 121 (5 documents)	3898
33 CFR 204	3883
42 CFR	
Proposed Rules:	
305	3899
43 CFR	
Public Land Orders:	
576 (revoked in part by PLO 2084)	3892
724 (revoked in part by PLO 2084)	3892
795 (see PLO 2084)	3892
2084	3892
47 CFR	
3	3892
12	3893
16	3895
50 CFR	
178	3895

Announcement

CFR SUPPLEMENTS

(As of January 1, 1960)

The following Supplement is now available:

Title 50_____ \$0.70

Previously announced: Title 3 (\$0.60); Titles 4-5 (\$1.00); Title 7, Parts 1-50 (\$0.45); Parts 51-52 (\$0.45); Parts 53-209 (\$0.40); Title 8 (\$0.40); Title 9 (\$0.35); Titles 10-13 (\$0.50); Title 18 (\$0.55); Title 20 (\$1.25); Titles 22-23 (\$0.45); Title 25 (\$0.45); Title 26 (1939), Parts 1-79 (\$0.40); Parts 80-169 (\$0.35); Parts 170-182 (\$0.35); Parts 300 to End (\$0.40); Title 26, Part 1 (\$\$ 1.01-1.499) (\$1.75); Parts 1 (\$ 1.500 to End)-19 (\$2.25); Parts 20-169 (\$1.75); Parts 170-221 (\$2.25); Part 300 to End (\$1.25); Titles 28–29 (\$1.75); Titles 30–31 (\$0.50); Title 32, Parts 700-799 (\$1.00); Parts 800-999, Revised (\$3.75); Part 1100 to End (\$0.60); Title 33 (\$1.75); Title 36, Revised (\$3.00); Title 38 (\$1.00); Title 46, Parts 1—145 (\$1.00); Parts 146—149, Revised (\$6.00); Part 150 to End (\$0.65); Title 47, Parts 1–29 (\$1.00); Part 30 to End (\$0.30); Title 49, Parts 1–70 (\$1.75); Parts 91-164 (\$0.45); Part 165 to End (\$1.00).

Order from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, epproved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations

proved July 26, 1835 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulatorons, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act. as amended. August 5, 1852.

suant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register, or the Code of Federal Regulations.

Rules and Regulations

Title 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter V—Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture PART 502—SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN

Maximum Rates of Reimbursement for Schools

The Special Milk Program Regulations currently in effect provide for a reduction effective May 1, 1960, of one cent per half pint in maximum rates of reimbursement payable to schools participating in the Special Milk Program. Since additional funds have been authorized by Congress for the operation of the program, a reduction in rates is not required. The purpose of this amendment, therefore, is to remove from the regulations, the provision for a reduction in rates of reimbursement.

Paragraph (b) of § 502.208 as amended, is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) For schools that offer milk to children as a separately priced item, the maximum rate of reimbursement shall be four cents per half pint for schools that serve Type A lunches under the National School Lunch Program. For other schools and for child-care institutions that offer milk to children as a separately priced item, the maximum rate of reimbursement shall be three cents per half pint. Less-than-maximum rates of reimbursement shall be assigned, or assigned rates shall be adjusted, if circumstances indicate such action is advisable.

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective May 1, 1960.

CLARENCE L. MILLER; Assistant Secretary.

APRIL 28, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4024; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 204—DANGER ZONE REGULATIONS

Gulf of Mexico, Florida

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1), § 204.120 is hereby amended by redesignating paragraph (a) as (a)(1) and revising the boundaries of the danger area and by adding a new subparagraph (2) to paragraph (a) establishing and governing the use of a danger area in

the Gulf of Mexico and St. Andrew Sound, Florida, to be effective on publication in the Federal Register, as follows:

§ 204.120 Gulf of Mexico, southeast of St. Andrew Bay East Entrance, Small Arms Firing Range, Tyndall Air Force Base, Fla.

(a) The danger zones. (1) The waters of the Gulf of Mexico southeast of St. Andrew Bay East Entrance within a rectangular area one statute mile wide, the longitudinal center line of which extends from a point on shore at latitude 30°04′30″, longitude 85°36′48″, 206° true, a distance of one statute mile.

(2) The waters of the Gulf of Mexico and St. Andrew Sound within an area described as follows, but excluding Crooked Island: Beginning at a point on shore at latitude 30°02′56″, longitude 85°34′35″; thence to latitude 30°02′18″, longitude 85°36′18″; thence to latitude 30°01′24″, longitude 85°35′40″; thence to latitude 30°01′24″, longitude 85°34′41″; thence to a point on shore at latitude 30°02′10″, longitude 85°33′42″; thence along the shore line to the point of beginning.

[Regs., 285/91 (Gulf of Mexico, Fia.) ENGOW-O] (sec. 7, 40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C.,1)

R. V. LEE, Major General, U.S. Army, The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4035; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C-AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS

[Reg. Doc. No. 373; Amdt. 143]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Brantly B-2 Helicopter

Three cases of chordwise high progression fatigue cracks have occurred in flight in the skin of the outboard main rotor blade on the Brantly B-2 helicopter, between the trailing edge and the spar. Two cases also occurred during accelerated ground test. Since safety is affected by this type of failure, it is necessary to reduce the retirement time of the outer blade to 50 hours of time in service pending determination of the cause of failure and corrective action.

In the interest of safety the Administrator finds that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing \$507.10(a) (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Brantly. Applies to all B-2 helicopters with 248-40 and 248-46 main rotor blade installed.

As a result of chordwise high progression fatigue skin cracks occurring during flight in the inboard one-third of the outboard rotor blade from the trailing edge to the spar, the retirement time on 248-40 and 248-46 blades has been reduced to 50 hours' time in service. Blades exceeding this time must be replaced within the next five hours of time in service.

(Brantly dispatch dated April 26, 1960, covers the same subject.)

This amendment shall become effective on the date of its publication in the Federal Register.

(Sec. 313(a) 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 3, 1960.

JAMES T. PYLE, Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4090; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER E-AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-16]

PART 602 — ESTABLISHMENT OF CODED JET ROUTES AND NAVI-GATIONAL AIDS IN THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA

Modification of Coded Jet Route

On March 5, 1960, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1966) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the segment of VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 60 between Cleveland, Ohio, and Allentown, Pa.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the Notice, the following action is taken:

In the text of § 602.560 (14 CFR, 1958 Supp., 602.560), "INT of the Cleveland VOR 079° and the Allentown VOR 288° radials;" is deleted and "Philipsburg, Pa., VORTAC;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., June 30, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 28, 1960.

D. D. Thomas, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4036; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

3883

RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Reg. Docket No. 356; Amdt. 165]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Alterations

The new and revised standard instrument approach procedures appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective and/or canceled when indicated in order to promote safety. The revised procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the revised procedures specify the complete procedure and indicate the changes to the existing procedures. Pursuant to authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), I find that a situation exists requiring immediate action in the interest of the treatment of the procedure are improved to be sent that procedure are improved to the good cause exists for making this amendment effect. safety, that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than thirty days' notice.

Part 609 (14 CFR, Part 609) is amended as follows:

1. The low or medium frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.100(a) are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	То	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine 65 knots or less	or less More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE UPON PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER. AIRPORT CLOSED ABOUT 1 APR. 60.

City, Akron; State, Colo.; Airport Name, CAA Int. Field; Elev., 4585'; Fac. Class., SBMRAZ; Ident., AKO; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 8 Sept. 56

Arcola Int	HOU LFR. HOU LFR. HOU LFR. HOU FM# (Final).	Direct Direct Direct	1500	T-dn C-dn S-dn-12 A-dn		300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 400-1 800-2
------------	--	----------------------------	------	---------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Radar terminal transition altitude 1500' within 20 miles. Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius or 500' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius or 500' vertical clearance within a 3-to 5-mile (inclusive) radius of 1232' TV tower 11 mi SSE, 1051' TV tower 11 mi SW, 755' TV tower 11 mi WNW, 753' TV tower 5½ mi NW, 610' structure 7 mi NE of airport. Radar may be used to position aircraft for a final approach within 5 miles of LFR, with elimination of a procedure turn. Procedure turn W side NW ers, 310° Outbnd, 130° Inbnd, 1800' within 10 mi of HOU FM#. Beyond 10 mi NA. Minimum altitude over HOU FM no final approach ers, 1500'. Crs and distance, HOU FM to airport, 130°—4.5 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.5 mi of HOU FM (or within 0.0 mi of HOU LFR if HOU FM not identified or Radar Fix not obtained on final), climb to 1700' on SE ers HOU LFR within 15 mi or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 1600' on NE ers HOU LFR within 20 miles.

#Radar transition altitude 1500' within 20 miles.

#Radar Fix 7.2 mi from HOU LFR may be used in lieu of HOU FM. If HOU FM not identified or Radar Fix not obtained on final, descent below 1500' NA.

City, Houston; State, Tex.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 50'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., HOU; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. 12; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 11; Dated, 20 Apr. 56

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.100(b) are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—		Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)		2-engine or less		More than 2-engine,
	To			Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
CDR VOR	CDR "H"	017°—18.9	- 5900	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 700-1 800-2	300-1 700-1 800-2	200-1/2 700-11/2 800-2

Procedure turn W side of final approach crs—357° Outbnd, 177° Inbnd, 4600′ within 10 miles.

Facility on airport. Minimum altitude over facility 4000′.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile turn right climb to 4600′ on course 357° within not seem to see the course of the course

City, Chadron; State, Nebr.; Airport Name, Chadron; Elev., 3312'; Fac. Class., HW (non-Federal facility); Ident., CDR; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 6 Feb. 60

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE-Continued

Transition				. Ceiling and visibility minimums				
From-	То	Course and distance	Minimum		2-engine or less		More than	
			altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	2-engine, more than 65 knots	
County Int Perry RBu Fairport Int	Cuyahoga County RBn Cuyahoga County RBn Cuyahoga County RBn	Direct Direct Direct	. 2500	T-dn C-dn S-dn-23 A-dn	600-1 500-1	•		

Procedure turn North side of crs, 060° Outbnd, 240° Inbnd, 2300′ within 10 miles of Chagrin River Int.

Minimum altitude over Chagrin Int* on final approach crs, 1800′.

Crs and distance, Chagrin River Int* to airport, 240°—4.1 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.1 miles after passing Chagrin River Int,* turn left, climb to 2500′ on crs of 060° within 10 miles. Return to CGF RBn. Hold in standard holding pattern 060° outbnd, 240° inbnd.

CAUTION: Airport bounded by trees East and Northeast.

Nores: (1) No weather reporting service. (2) After 8 PM runway lights upon request through UNICOM or Cleveland Center.

This procedure authorized only for aircraft equipped to receive CGF RBn and CXR-VOR simultaneously. Facility owned and operated by Cuyahoga County Airport.

*Chagrin River Int: Int 240° bring to CGF RBn and R-300 CXR-VOR.

City, Cleveland; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Cuyahoga County; Elev., 855'; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., CGF; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 21 May 60

County Int	Cuyahoga County RBn	Direct Direct		T-dn C-dn A-dn		
------------	---------------------	------------------	--	----------------------	--	--

Procedure turn North side of crs, 060° Outbnd, 240° Inbnd, 2300' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Facility on airport.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1800'.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile of CGF RBn, turn left, climb to 2500' on crs of 660° within 10 miles. Return to CGF RBn. Hold in standard holding pattern 060° outbnd, 240° inbnd.

CAUTION: Airport bounded by trees East and Northeast.

Nores: (1) No weather reporting service. (2) After 8 p.m. runway lights upon request through UNICOM or Cleveland Center. Facility owned and operated by Cuyahoga County Airport.

City, Cleveland; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Cuyahoga County; Elev., 855'; Fac. Class., MHW: Ident., CGF; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 21 May 60

DSM-LFR DSM-VOR Martensdale FM Grimes Int Ankeny Int.	LOM	Direct	2400 2500 2500	T-dn* C-dn S-dn-30 A-dn	. 400-1	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-14 500-114 400-1 800-2
Mine Int** Beech Int	LUM (Final)	Direct	I. 1000				

Procedure turn E side of crs, 125° Outbind, 305° Inbind, 2300′ within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1600′.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 305°—4.3 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.3 miles, climb to 2600′ on crs 305° from LOM within 20 miles.

Outrion: 1546′ MSL tower 3 mi NNE, 1410′ MSL tower 8 mi N, 1430′ tower 8.7 mi NE and 1250′ tower 13 mi SE of arpt.

*When 1546′ MSL tower 3 mi NNE of airport not visible on N, NW, E, and NE takeoffs, climb to 2100′ prior to turning toward tower.

*Mine Int: SE crs DSM-ILS and R-079 DSM-VOR

City, Des Moines; State, Iowa; Airport Name, Des Moines; Elev., 957'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., DS; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 27 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 7 Nov. 59

Macon VOR Macon LFR Robins Int Powersville Int	LOM	Direct Direct Direct Direct	1600	T-dn C-dn S-dn-5 A-dn	500-1	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	200-12 500-12 500-1 800-2

Procedure turn S side SW crs, 227° Outbnd, 047° Inbnd, 1600′ within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1400′.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 047°—3.8 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.8 mi after passing LOM, climb to 1800′ on crs 047° within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climbing direct to LOM.

Major Changes: Deletes note regarding approach lights. Deletes MRA note at Powersville Int.

City, Macon; State, Ga.; Airport Name, Macon (Cochran); Elev., 354'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., MC; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3 (ADF portion Comb. ILS-ADF); Dated, 9 Nov. 57

Mobile VOR	LOM	Direct	1300- 1400	T-dn C-dn S-dn-14 A-dn	400-1	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 400-1 800-2
------------	-----	--------	---------------	---------------------------------	-------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Radar terminal area transitions: 2000' within 20 mi Brookley AFB. 2200' required within sector from 060° to 100° mag. from Brookley AFB.
Procedure turn W side NW crs, 320° Outbud, 140° Inbud, 1400' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1200'.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 139°—4.0 mi.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.0 mi. after passing LOM, make right turn, climb to 1600' on 180° crs from LOM within 20 mi or, when directed by ATC, make right turn, proceed direct to MOB VOR climbing to 1400' and enter VOR holding pattern.

City, Mobile; State, Ala.; Airport Name, Bates; Elev., 217; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., MO; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 10; Eff.. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 7 Dec. 58

RULES AND REGULATIONS

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE-Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From-	То	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)		2-engine or less		More than 2-engine.
				Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	I man a than
Wilmington RBn Wilmington VOR Swamp Int*	LOMLOM	Direct Direct Direct	1400 1400 1400	T-dn C-dn S-dn-34 A-dn	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 400-1 800-2

Procedure turn E side of S crs, 163° Outbnd, 343° Inbnd, 1400' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 343°—4.7 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.7 miles after passing LOM, climb to 1300' on crs within 20 miles.

*Major Change: Deletes note regarding approach lights.

*Swamp Int: Int R-237 ILM-VOR and 125° brng to CLB RBn.

City, Wilmington; State, N.C.; Airport Name, New Hanover County; Elev., 31'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., IL; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt No. 2 (ADF portion Comb. ILS-ADF); Dated, 12 Dec. 57

3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100(c) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling	g and visibili	ty minimum	15
From	То	Course and distance	Minimum altitudo (feet)	Condition	, 2-engine 65 knots or less	or less More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE UPON PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER. AIRPORT CLOSED ABOUT 1 APR 60.

City, Akron; State, Colo.; Airport Name, CAA International; Elev., 4585'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., AKO; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 9 June 56; Sup. Amdt. No. Form ACAN-1725; Dated, 27 Apr. 53

	,	T-d C-d A-dn	800-2	300-1 800-2 NA	300-1 800-2 NA

Procedure turn South side of crs, 274° Outbind, 094° Inbind, 2600′ within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2100′.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 094°—6 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.0 miles, make a left climbing turn to 2600′ and return to STW-VOR.

Note: UNICOM available on 122.8.

City, Andover; State, N.J.; Airport Name, Aeroflex-Andover; Elev., 583'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., STW; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 21 May 60

GFK-LFR.	GFK-VOR	Direct	2000	T-dn	*500-1 *500-1½ *500-1 *500-1½	300-1 *500-1 *500-13/2 *500-1 *500-13/2 800-2	*500-1
----------	---------	--------	------	------	--	--	--------

Procedure turn East side of crs, 151° Outbnd, 331° Inbnd, 2000′ within 10 mi.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1500′.

Crs and distance, facility to Rnwy 31, 331°—6.5 mi; crs and distance, facility to Rnwy 35, 330°—6.5 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.5 miles of GFK-VOR or within 2.8 mi after passing NE crs GFK-LFR, climb to 2100′ on GFK-VOR R-331 within 15 miles.

CAUTION: Tower 997′ MSL 0.6 mi ESE of approach end of Rnwy 31.

*Authorized only for aircraft equipped to receive GFK-VOR and GFK-LFR simultaneously. If passing NE crs GFK-LFR not identified on final, descent below 1400′ MSL and ceiling minimums below 600′ not authorized.

City, Grand Forks; State, N. Dak.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 836'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., GFK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 21 May 60

Barbers Point FM	HNL-VOR R-250 and 10-mi DMET	Direct	* 2000 3500 * 2000	T-dn C-dn S-dn-8 A-dn	500-1	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 500-1 800-2
------------------	------------------------------	--------	--------------------------	--------------------------------	-------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Procedure turn S side of crs, 250° Outbnd, 070° Inbnd, 3500′ within 10 mi. Procedure turn not necessary with Radar vectors to final approach course from Pineapple and Southgate Int. Vectors from these intersections authorized at 2000′.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2000′.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 078—4.8.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.8 miles, make right turn, climbing to 2000′ and proceed to Southgate VHF Int.

CAUTION: (1) Rawy 8 at Honolulu Airport equipped with hi-intensity lights. Do not confuse with closed Rnwy 7 at Hickam. (2) Circling North of airport not authorized because of terrain 386′, 1.5 ml North and 508′, 2 ml NE of airport. (3) Terrain rises sharply on North side of final approach course; within 2.6 ml—1000′, 4.2 ml—2566′, 5.8 ml—3008′.

* 1000′ authorized after passing abeam LOM or 1.2 mile DMET fix on final.

* Aircraft at Southgate Int authorized to fly 10 mi DMET clockwise orbit to final approach crs (R-250) at 2000′.

City, Honolulu; State, Hawaii; Airport Name, International; Elev., 10'; Fac. Class., VORTAC; Ident., HNL; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 11; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 10; Dated, 30 Apr. 60

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE -- Continued

Transition					Ceiling and visibility minimums				
	.•	Course and Minimum		Minimu			2-engine or less		More than
From—	To— Course and distance		altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	2-engine, more than 65 knots		
Fairbanks Int	HOU FM (Final)#	Direct	1500	T-dn	300-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 800-2		

Radar terminal area maneuvering altitude all directions 1500'. Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-to 5-mile (inclusive) radius of 1232' TV tower 11 mi SSE, 1051' TV tower 11 mi SW, 755' TV tower 11 mi WNW, 753' TV tower 5½ mi NW, 610' structure 7 mi NE of airport. Radar may be used to position aircraft for a final approach within 5 miles of VOR, with elimination of a procedure turn. Procedure turn W side of crs, 315' Outbud, 135' Inbnd, 1800' within 10 mi of HOU FM#. Beyond 10 mi NA. Minimum altitude over HOU FM# on final approach crs, 1500'. Crs and distance, HOU FM to airport, 135'—4.5 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.5 mi of HOU FM (or within 0.0 mi of HOU VOR if HOU FM not identified or Radar Fix not obtained on final), climb to 2200' on HOU VOR R-171 within 15 mi. CAUTION: 1232' TV tower 11 mi SSE of VOR.

#Radar Fix 5.4 mi from HOU VOR may be used in lieu of HOU FM. If HOU FM not identified or radar fix not obtained on final, descent below 1500' NA.

City, Houston; State, Tex.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 50'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., HOU; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 26 Oct. 57

Mangrove Int Ocean Int* Greenwater Int. Wave Int* Caribbean Int. Tide Int***	Ocean Int SJU-VOR (Final) Wave Int SJU-VOR (Final) Tide Int SJU-VOR (Final)	Direct	1100	T-dn C-dnA-dn	600-1	300-1 600-1 800-2	200-1/2 600-11/2 800-2
--	---	--------	------	------------------	-------	-------------------------	------------------------------

No procedure turn.
Shuttle to 1100' in standard holding pattern at appropriate final approach intersection on appropriate final approach course.
Facility on airport. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 600'.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile of SJU VOR, turn left, climb to 1000' on R-065 within 9 miles. Contact SJU Approach Control for further instructions.
Nore: Missed approach distance restricted due to location of warning area W-368.
Final approach intersections:
*Ocean Int: Int R-321 SJU-VOR and 212° brng to SJP RBn.
*Wave Int: Int R-340 SJU-VOR and 212° brng to SJP RBn.
***Tide Int: Int R-358 SJU-VOR and 212° brng to SJP RBn.

City, San Juan; State, P.R.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 9'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident,. SJU; Procedure No. 3, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 21 May 60

4. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.400 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums				
		Government N	Minimum		2-engin	e or less_	More than	
From—	То	Course and distance	altitude (feet)	Condition	Condition 65 knots or less		2-engine, more than 65 knots	
AMA-VOR AMA-LFR Borger Int Bivins Int Canyon Int Claude Int Finley Int. Palo Duro Int Plant Int. Sam Int. Tower Int W Side Int.	LOM	Direct	5000 5000 5300 4800 5000 5000 4900 5300 5300	T-dn C-dn S-dn-03 A-dn	300-1 500-1 200-1/2 600-2	300-1 500-1 200-1 ₂ 600-2		

Procedure turn W side of crs, 214° Outbind, 034° Inbind, 5000′ within 10 mi.

Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of Rnwy at OM, 5000′—5.0 mi; at MM, 3815′—0.6 mi.

Minimum altitude at G.S. Interception inbind on final, 5000′.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 4900′ on NE crs ILS within 20 miles.

Caution: Towers 3994′ MSL 5 mi SW; 3886′ MSL 4 mi SW; 3885′ MSL 5 mi SW of airport.

City, Amarillo; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Amarillo AFB/Mun.; Elev., 3604'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-AMA; Procedure No. ILS-3, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 21 May 60 or date of com. facility

Atlanta LFR Atlanta VOR Lithonia Int	Roy Int *	Direct Direct	2100 2100 1600	T-dn C-dn S-dn-27L A-dn	400-1 400-1	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/4 400-1 800-2
--------------------------------------	-----------	------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Procedure turn S side E crs, 088° Outbnd, 268° Inbnd, 2200′ within 10 miles. Beyond 10 mi NA.
No glide slope.
Minimum altitude over Rex Int * inbnd, 1600′.
Brng and distance to approach end of rny at Rex Int *, 268°—4.6 mi.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.6 miles after passing Rex Int *, climb to 2200′ on W crs
ILS within 10 miles.
CAUTION: 1182′ tower ¾ mile W airport.
* Rex Int: Int E crs ATL-ILS and R-332 MDU-VOR.

City, Atlanta; State, Ga.; Airport Name, Atlanta; Elev., 1024'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-ATL; Procedure No. ILS-27L, Amdt. 6; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 9 Feb. 57

RULES AND REGULATIONS

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE-Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums							
		Minimum		Minimu		Minimum			2-engine or less		More than 2-engine,
From—	То	Course and distance	altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	mana than				
Macon VOR	LOMLOMLOMLOMLOMLOMLOMLOMLOMLOM (Final)	Direct Direct Direct	1600 1600 1600 1600	T-dnC-dnS-dn-5 * A-dn	500-1	300-1 500-1 300-34 600-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 300-3/4 600-2				

Procedure turn S side SW crs, 227° Outbnd, 047° Inbnd, 1600′ within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Minimum altitude at G.S. interception inbnd, 1600′.

Altitude of G.S. and distance to approach end of rny at OM 1490—3.8, at MM 540—0.5.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 1800′ on NE crs ILS within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climbing to 1600′ direct to LOM.

OAUTION: 848′ tower 5.5 miles NE of airport on missed approach crs.

Major Changes: Deletes note regarding approach lights. Deletes MRA note as Powersville Int.

* 500–¾ required when glide slope not utilized.

City, Macon; State, Ga.; Airport Name, Macon (Coehran); Elev., 354'; Fac. Class., 1LS; Ident., 1MCN; Procedure No. ILS-5, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3 (ILS portion Comb. ILS-ADF); Dated, 9 Nov. 57

Verdi Int	Reno RBn	Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct	9500 9500 8500 8500 8500	T-dn* C-dn S-dn-16# A-dn	800-1½ 1000-2 1000-2 1500-3	800-1½ 1000-2 1000-2 1500-3	800-1½ 1000-2 1000-2 1500-3
-----------	----------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

No procedure turn authorized. Descend to 8500' in a one-minute right turn pattern, 343° Outbnd, 163° Inbnd, within 10 miles north of Reno RBn. Not authorized beyond

No procedure turn authorized. Describe to soot in a obsermance in great and extensive section. As the source of the intersection, and distance to approach and Rny 16 on final approach: Reno RBn, 8000'—11.1 mi; Int N crs ILS and RNO VOR R-306, Minimum altitude over facilities and intersection, and distance to approach and Rny 16 on final approach: Reno RBn, 8000'—11.1 mi; Int N crs ILS and RNO VOR R-306, If visual contact not established at the MM/LFR, climb straight ahead to 6000' on the S crs of the ILS within 5 miles, then reverse crs to the right, continue climb to 8000', Buttling on the N crs of the ILS between the Reno RBn and the MM/LFR, all turns to the East, or when directed by ATC, climb to 9000' on the S crs of the ILS within 15 miles of the MM/LFR, turn left and proceed to the RNO VOR at 9000'. Cross the Steamboat Int at or above 8000' when using the alternate missed approach procedure. CAUTION: All provisions of this procedure must be strictly adhered to. Precipitous terrain all quadrants. Do not proceed beyond the MM/LFR on final approach unless landing is assured.

CAUTION: All provisions of this procedure mast be satisfy addicted to.

All CARRIER Note: Sliding scale not authorized.

All CARRIER Note: Sliding scale not authorized.

*Authorized for Rwys 16, 34, and 25. All other runways require 1000-2.

**Steamboat Int: S crs ILS and R-191 RNO VOR.

*No approach lights or glide slope. All other components of ILS are required for this procedure except the Int of the N crs ILS and RNO VOR R-313 may be substituted for the Reno RBn for aircraft equipped to receive ILS and VOR simultaneously.

City, Reno; State, Nev.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 4411'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., RNO; Procedure No. ILS-16, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 21 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 1 Jan. 60

Wilmington RBn Wilmington VOR Swamp Int*		Direct Direct	1400	T-dn C-dn S-dn-34 A-dn.	500-1 200-14	300-1 500-1 200-3⁄2 600-2	200-1/2 500-11/2 200-1/2 600-2
	1		,		i '	1	ł

Procedure turn E side of S crs, 163° Outbind, 343° Inbind, 1400′ within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.
Minimum altitude at gilde slope interception inbind, 1400′.
Altitude of gilde slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM 1385—4.7; at MM 230—0.6.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 1300′ on N crs ILS within 20 mi.
*Swamp Int: Int R-237 ILM-VOR and 125° bring to CLB RBn.

City, Wilmington; State, N.C.; Airport Name, New Hanover County; Elev., 31'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-ILM; Procedure No. ILS-34, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 21 May 60 Sup. Amdt. No. 2 (ILS portion Comb. ILS-ADF); Dated, 12 Dec. 57

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Secs. 313(a), 307(c), 72 Stat. 752, 749; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 21, 1960.

B. PUTNAM, Acting Director, Bureau of Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 60-3908; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 363; Amdt. 166]

PART 609-STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Alterations

The new and revised standard instrument approach procedures appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective and/or canceled when indicated in order to promote safety. The revised procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the revised procedures specify the complete procedure and indicate the changes to the existing procedures. Pursuant to authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), I find that a situation exists requiring immediate action in the interest of safety, that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than thirty days' notice.

Part 609 (14 CFR Part 609) is amended as follows:

1. The low or medium frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.100(a) are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation A ency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Celling and visibility minimums				
From— To—		Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine 65 knots or less	or less More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots	
				T-dnA-dn		400-134 800-2	400-11/2 800-2	

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USN Low Frequency Range Standard Instrument Approach Procedure as published on AL-761-RNG. NOTE: Use of NAS Barbers Point as alternate is limited to air carriers who have obtained specific authorization from the U.S. Navy. CAUTION: Circling approaches in N and NW quadrants not authorized due to terrain in this area obstruction lighted at 439'.

City, Ewa; State, Hawaii; Airport Name, NAS Barbers Point; Elev., 21'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., HNL; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 28 May 60

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.100(b) are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

	Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums				
From-	,		Gaura and Minimum		_	2-engine or less		More than	
	From-	То—	Course and distance	altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	2-engine, more than 65 knots	
					T-d	` 700-2	500-2 800-2 NA	500-2 800-2 NA	

Procedure turn East side of crs, 190° Outbud, 010° Inbud, 1300′ within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 800′.

Crs and distance, facility to landing area, 040°-7.5 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 7.5 miles, climb to 1400′ on crs of 040° from GNI HH.

CAUTICE: Sea Planes only (No runways available). Procedure limited to operators with usable frequencies for direct communications with New Orleans ARTO. Procedure

NA for air carriers. No weather available.

City, Grande Isle; State, La.; (Water Area); Fac. Class., HHW; Ident., GNI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff Date, 28 May 60

Little Rock LFR Little Rock VOR Lakeside Int City Int: Malvern Int Mablevale Int Bauxite Int	LOM LOM LOM LOM	Direct	1800 1800 1900 1800	T-dn C-dn S-dn-4 A-dn	500-1	300-1 600-1 500-1 800-2	200-14 600-114 500-1 800-2
--	--------------------------	--------	------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Procedure turn N side SW crs, 220° Outbnd, 040° Inbnd, 1800′ within 10 ml. (Nonstandard due to traffic.)

Minimum altitude over LOM inbnd final 1800′; over LMM# inbnd final 900′.

Crs and distance, LOM to airport, 040°—4.6 ml.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.6 ml of LOM, climb to 1800′ on crs of 040° from LOM within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn right, climb to 1800′ and proceed to LFR.

NOTE: No approach lights. 300-1 required for takeoff Runways 17, 35, 32.

#Descent below 900′ NA unless MM or LMM received.

City, Little Rock; State, Ark.; Airport Name, Adams Field; Elev., 257'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., LI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3, Dated, 13 Dec. 58

3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100(c) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition			Ceiling and visibility minimums				
From To			Garage and Minimum		2-engine	or less	More than
	Course and distance	altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	2-engine, more than 65 knots	
Beaumont LFR	BPT VOR	Direct	1200	T-dn	300-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 800-2

Procedure turn S side of crs. 236° Outbnd, 056° Inbnd, 1200′ within 10 ml.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000′.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 056-4.6.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.6 ml, climb to 1400′ on R-057 within 20 ml. or, when directed by ATC, climb to 1400′ on R-050 within 20 ml.

City, Beaumont; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Jefferson County; Elev., 15'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., BPT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 28 May 55

RULES AND REGULATIONS

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE-Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	То—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engin 65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Spring Lake Int. Morton Int	OBK VOROBK VOROBK VOR	Direct Direct	2200 2000 2000	T-dn C-dn A-dn#	300-1 500-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-11/2 800-2

Radar Transition to final approach course authorized. Aircraft will be released for final approach without procedure turn, on inbound final approach course 3 miles from OBK VOR.

Procedure turn North side of crs, 338° Outbnd, 158° Inbnd, 2000′ within 10 miles. Nonstandard due to O'Hare approach area.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1500′.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 158°—4.9 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.9 miles of OBK VOR, make immediate left turn, climb to 2500′ and proceed to Northbrook VOR via the OBK VOR R-140.

#Alternate weather minimums dependent on O'Hare weather.

*Int ORD R-091 and CGT R-011.

City, Chicago; State, Ill.; Airport Name, Pal Waukee; Elev., 642'; Fac. Class, VOR; Ident., OBK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 28 May 60

Columbia LFR.	CAE-VOR	Direct	1500	T-dn	500-1	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 500-1 800-2
	•	•					

Procedure turn E side of crs, 147° Outbnd, 327° Inbnd, 1700' within 10 mi.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 900'.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 327—5.6.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.6 mi, climb to 1600' on R-327 within 20 mi.

City, Columbia; State, S.C.; Airport Name, Columbia; Elev., 244'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., CAE; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 24 Jan. 59

1	l l	l l	T-dn	400-114	400-11/2	400-114
ļ`			A-dn		800-2	800-2
	<u> </u>	1		<u></u>	1	

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USN VOR Standard Instrument Approach Procedure as published on AL-761-VOR. NOTE: Use of NAS Barbers Point as alternate is limited to air carriers who have obtained specific authorization from the U.S. Navy. CAUTION: Circling approaches in N and NW quadrants not authorized due to high terrain obstruction lighted at 439.

City, Ewa; State, Hawaii; Airport Name, NAS Barbers Point; Elev., 21'; Fac. Class., VORTAC; Ident., HNL; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 28 May 60

Jackson LFR	JAN-VOR	Direct	1700	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-14
				Ç-d	700-1	700-1 700-2	700-114 700-2
			·	A-dn		800-2	800-2
				,	1		ļ

Procedure turn West side crs, 009° Outbnd, 189° Inbnd, 1500′ within 10 mi.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1400′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 189°—10.6 mi.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6 mi of VOR, climb to 2100′ on R-189 within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 2000′ on R-090 within 20 miles.

City, Jackson; State, Miss.; Airport Name, Hawkins; Elev., 343'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., JAN; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 5 June 58

		T-dn* C-d	1000-11/2	
•	,	A-dn*		

Procedure turn W side of crs, 356° Outbnd, 176° Inbnd, 3100' within 10 mi.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2600'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 176—0.7.

CAUTION: Sod field, except N-S runway paved.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.7 mi, execute climbing right turn to 3000', return to RSL VOR and hold on R-079.

*Night operations on N-S runway only.

City, Russell; State, Kans.; Airport Name, Russell; Elev., 1863'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., RSL; Procedure No. 1. Amdt. 6; Eff. Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 7 Mar. 59

4. The terminal very high frequency omnirange (TerVOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.200 are amended to read in part: TERMINAL VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Celling and visibility minimums				
From— To—		0	Minimum		2-engine or less		More than	
	То	Course and distance	altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	2-engine, more than 65 knots	
Int R-209 RBS-VOR and R-325 CMI VORTAC.	CMI VORTAC	Direct	2200	T-dn	500-1	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	200-1/2 500-1/2 500-1 800-2	

Procedure turn South side of crs, 120° Outbnd, 300° Inbnd, 1800′ within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1252′.

Crs and distance, breakoff point to Rwy 31, 313°—0.5 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile of CMI VORTAC, make left turn, climb to 1800′ on R-120 CMI VORTAC within 10 miles.

CAUTION: Tower 1703′ MSL 9 mi NW of airport. Tower 1146′ MSL 2.1 mi NNE of airport.

City, Champaign; State, Ill.; Airport Name, University of Illinois; Elev., 753'; Fac. Class., VORTAC; Ident., CMI; Procedure No. TerVOR-31, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 28 May 60

5. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.400 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition			Ceiling and visibility minimums				
From—	То—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engin 65 knots or less	More than	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE UPON PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER.

City, Chicago; State, Ill.; Airport Name, O'Hare Int'l; Elev., 666'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-OHA; Procedure No. ILS-32R, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 6 Feb 60

LGB LFR Huntington Beach FM San Pedro Int LGB VOR	LOM. LOM (Final)	Direct Direct Direct	1500 - 1400 1500 1500	T-dn* C-dn S-dn-30# A-dn	ໄ ຄດດ_ລີ	300-1 600-1 300-3/4 600-2	200-½ 600-2 300-34 600-2
---	---------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Radar vectoring to final approach course authorized.
Procedure turn S side SE crs, 120° Outbind, 300° Inbind, 1500′ within 10 mi of LOM. Beyond 10 mi NA.
Minimum altitude at glide slope Int inbind, 1400′.
Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 1330′—4.2 ml; at MM, 230′—0.5 ml.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 800′ on NW crs LGB ILS; turn left, climb on 200° heading to interception of 160° crs from LAX RBn and proceed to San Pedro Int at 2500′ or, when directed by ATC, climb to 800′ on NW crs LGB-LFR, reverse crs to left and return to Long Beach LFR at 1500′.

CAUTION: Standard clearance over obstructions not provided for circling minimums; 500′ hill with oil derricks one mi S of airport.

All circling and maneuvering shall be accomplished North of field.

*300-1 required for takeoff runways 16L, 25L, 34R; 600-1½ required for takeoff Runway 16R.

#Straight-in landing minimums are 400-1 with glide slope inoperative.

City, Long Beach; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 56'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-LGB; Procedure No. ILS-30, Amdt. 16; Eff Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 15; Dated, 15 Aug. 59

Tiverton VOR	LOM	Direct	T-dn	500-1 200-32	300-1 500-1 200-32 600-2	200-34 500-134 200-32 600-2

Procedure turn North side of crs, 140° Outbud, 320° Inbud, 2500′ within 10 ml. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Minimum altitude at glide slope interception inbud, 2500′.

Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of Runway at OM, 2500′—4.0 ml; at MM, 1510′—0.6 ml.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 2500′ on NW crs ILS, turn right and return to LOM. City, Mansfield; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Mansfield; Elev., 1296'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., MFD; Procedure No. ILS-32, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 14 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig; Dated, 14 May 60

Newberg VOR Int R-183 UBG VOR brng 092 to Salem LOM. Crabtree Int	LOMLOM (Final)	Direct Direct	3000	T-dn	800-1 300-34 800-2	300-1 800-1 300-34 800-2	200-1/2 800-11/2 300-3/4 800-2
Craptiee int	LOM (Final)	Direct	2000	A-011*	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of SE crs, 129° Outbnd, 309° Inbnd, 2500′ within 10 mi. NA beyond 10 mi (procedure turn to be made on S side of crs; high terrain to east).

Minimum altitude at glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM—1520—4.0; at MM—470—0.7.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished make climbing right turn, climb to 2500′ on SE crs of ILS within 10 mi of LOM all turns on S side.

CAUTION: 688′ MSL terrain located 3½ mi S of airport.

*AIR CARBIER NOTE: Paragraph 23(a)(3) of Operations Specifications not applicable.

#500-1 required with any component of the ILS inoperative.

City, Salem; State, Oreg.; Airport Name, McNary Field; Elev., 207'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., ISLE; Procedure No. ILS-31, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 28 May 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 17 Oct. 59

The radar procedures prescribed in § 609.500 are amended to read in part:

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established are controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Transition			Ceiling and visibility minimums				
From-	То—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine 65 knots or less	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
	·			T-dnA-dn.	400-1½ 600-2	400-1½ 600-2	400-114 600-2

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USN Radar Standard Instrument Approach Procedure.

Note: Use of NAS Barbers Point as alternate is limited to air carriers who have obtained specific authorization from the U.S. Navy.

Caurion: Circling approaches in N and NW quadrants not authorized due to high terrain obstruction lighted at 439'.

City, Ewa; State, Hawaii; Airport Name, NAS Barbers Point; Elev., 21'; Fac. Class., Barbers Point; Ident., Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 28 May 60

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures. (Secs. 313(a), 307(c), 72 Stat. 752, 749; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 28, 1960.

B. PUTNAM. Acting Director, Bureau of Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 60-3990; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX-PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2084]

[Anchorage 050727-A]

ALASKA

Partly Revoking Public Land Order Nos. 576 and 724

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 576 of May 29, 1949, as amended by Public Land Order No. 795 of January 23, 1952, in part reserving lands under jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior, and Public Land Order No. 724 of May 24, 1951, reserving lands for use of the Department of the Army, are hereby revoked so far as they affect the following-described lands:

BEWARD MERIDIAN

T. 13 N., R. 3 W. Sec. 21, NW 1/4 SE 1/4 Containing 40 acres.

2. Until 10:00 a.m. on July 28, 1960, the lands shall be subject only to application by the State of Alaska in accordance with and subject to the limitations and requirements of the Act of July 28, 1956 (70 Stat. 709; 48 U.S.C. 46-3b), and section 6g of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339), and to application by preference right claimants whose rights are or may be paramount to those of the State as provided in said

3. Commencing at 10:00 a.m. on July 28, 1960, the lands shall be open to application, petition, location, and selection by the public generally, and to settlement under the homestead and Alaska homesite laws, and to location under the United States mining laws, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the regulations in 43 CFR, including 43 CFR 76.16.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office. Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage. Alaska.

ROYCE A. HARDY, Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

APRIL 28, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4041; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.1

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 13409; FCC 60-456]

PART 3-RADIO BROADCAST **SERVICES**

Table of Assignments; Television Broadcast Stations; Kalamazoo, Mich.

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the proposal set out in its Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 60-153), released in this proceeding on February 19, 1960, to amend the television Table of Assignments by changing the educational reservation at Kalamazoo, Michigan, from Channel 74 to Channel 46, in response to the request of Western Michigan University at Kalamazoo.

2. Comments supporting Western Michigan University's proposal were filed by the Joint Council on Educational Television. No comments opposing the proposal were received.

3. Channels 3, 46, and *74 are assigned to Kalamazoo, with Channel 74 reserved for noncommercial educational use. Station WKZO-TV has been operating on Channel 3 since 1950. The UHF channels have not been utilized nor are there any applications pending for them at this time. The petitioner, Western Michigan University, indicates that it is interested in establishing an educational station at Kalamazoo and has been actively working toward that end for the past four and one-half years. The University operates an educational FM broadcast station (WMCR) at Kalamazoo and has had other experience in educational broadcast programming on commercial and noncommercial radio stations in southwestern Michigan, as well as on Station WKZO-TV. With the sources of funds and equipment which it now has, as well as those which it is currently investigating, the University plans to apply for a construction permit for an educational station as soon as a decision is reached on its instant pro-JCET shares the University's posal. view that Channel 46 would better serve its purposes for an educational station at this time than the presently-reserved higher UHF channel.

4. We believe that adoption of this proposal is in the public interest. does not appear that there is any interest in the use of Channel 46 at Kalamazoo for commercial purposes, and the record evidences that the reservation of this channel for educational use in place of Channel 73 is more likely to lead to the establishment of a first educational station in the Kalamazoo area in the near future.

5. Authority for the adoption of the amendments herein is contained in sections 4(i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f) and (r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

6. In view of the foregoing: It is ordered, That effective June 6, 1960, § 3.606 of the Commission's rules is amended, insofar as the community named is concerned, to read as follows:

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interpret or apply secs. 301, 303, 307, 48 Stat. 1081, 1082, 1083; 47 U.S.C. 301, 303, 307)

Adopted: April 27, 1960. Released: April 29, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4062; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12485; FCC 60-468]

PART 12-AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

Frequencies and Types of Emission for Use of Amateur Stations

In the matter of amendment of § 12.111 of the Commission's rules, Amateur Radio Service, to provide that only A1 emission may be used in the lower 100 kc of the 50 and 144 Mc amateur bands; Docket No. 12485; petitions for rule making of: Mr. Gordon E. Simkin of Idaho Falls, Idaho, RM 103, and of Mr. Ernest H. Adolph of Bedford, Mass., RM 118.

- 1. In response to a petition filed by the American Radio Relay League, Inc., a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was issued in the above-entitled proceeding on June 11, 1958, proposing establishment, within the 50–54 Mc and 144–148 Mc amateur bands, of band segments in which only amateurs utilizing type A1 emissions would be permitted to operate. It was further proposed that these subbands should be 50.0–50.1 Mc and 144.0–144.1 Mc.
- 2. On December 3, 1958, a Report and Order was issued in this proceeding in which the Commission concluded that the public interest would be served by establishment, as proposed, of 100 kc segments of the 50-54 Mc and 144-148 Mc amateur frequency bands wherein operation may be conducted only if type A1 emission is used. However, the Commission concluded that the public interest would not be served by utilizing the lower 100 kilocycles of these bands, as proposed for establishment of such segments, but in view of the comments received the Commission concluded the public interest would be served by establishing these segments at 50.9-51.0 Mc and 147.9-148.0 Mc.
- 3. On January 9, 1959, pursuant to requests filed by the League and other interested parties, the Commission issued an Order which postponed until further notice the effective date of the amendments ordered in the above-referred-to Report and Order and ex-

tended until March 10, 1959, the time for filing petitions for reopening or reconsideration.

- 4. In response to the January 9, 1959, Order, a substantial number of petitions were filed, some of which sought to reopen the proceeding for acceptance of additional comments, and others which sought reconsideration by the Commission on the present record.
- 5. On April 29, 1959, the Commission adopted a Further Report and Order [FCC 59-412, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER May 5, 1959, (24 F.R. 3612)] in this proceeding which:
- (a) Denied those petitions which sought reconsideration upon the record then before the Commission; and
- (b) Reopened the record for the reception of additional comments which petitioners alleged would demonstrate that the "A1 only" segments should be established at the low frequency ends of the respective bands. In reopening the record the Commission stated in part:

In view of the fact that evidence of the type petitioners allege will be adduced is, in some cases, not contained in the present evidentiary record, the Commission believes that the proceeding should be recopened for the receipt of additional evidence.

6. The time for filing comments has expired. A substantial number of additional comments have been received in response to this Further Report and Or-The arguments expressed in these comments were of three general types: Some argued that the lower 100 kc of the 50-54 Mc and 144-148 Mc amateur bands be restricted to A1 emission; others, that these segments should be established elsewhere in these bands; and still others that no "A1 only" segments should be established. These latter comments were not considered germane since the question of whether or not these segments should be established had already been determined and was not in issue here. Accordingly, the Commission herein has given consideration to only that evidence which relates to the location of the segments within the subject bands.

7. The considerations which influenced the Commission's previous determination that the "A1 only" segments should be 50.9-51.0 Mc and 147.9-148.0 Mc were: (a) The majority of amateurs, i.e., those using A3, would not be faced with having to shift from the parts of the bands where they most frequently operate: (b) permitting A3 emission in the lower 100 kc segment of the 50-54 Mc band would minimize interference to TV Channel 2; and (c) since the propagation characteristics of these segments were not sufficiently different, the two factors set forth in (a) and (b) should be controlling. With some minor exceptions, the A3 comments generally reiterated these conclusions and argued that the A1 segments should be anywhere except in the bottom 100 kc of the bands.

8. The comments in support of establishing the "A1 only" segments at the low frequency ends of the respective bands, including comments by the League, adduced the following evidence on these points:

(a) Amateurs experimenting with weak signal communication techniques and investigating various propagation phenomena have designed and constructed high gain, rotatable directional antennas. Such antennas achieve high gain and better signal-to-noise ratios at the expense of bandwidth. Most such antennas have been designed for operation at the low ends of the respective bands and represent a very considerable investment in time as well as money. There would be little incentive to modify these antennas for operation at 50.9-51.0 Mc because this segment offers much reduced opportunity for interesting work in the field of ionospheric propagation. Thus, the upheaval involved in moving to higher segments in these bands would, on an individual basis, adversely affect the A1 operators more seriously, while such a transition for the A3 users could be more easily accomplished.

(b) As regards interference to and from TV Channel 2, while it is true that more such interference will be experienced from operations higher in the 50-54 Mc band, raising the operating frequency in the order of 100 kc should have no significant bearing on the overall interference situation. Even in areas where Channel 2 television signals are usable, there is little difference in the interference caused at 50.5 Mc as compared

to that at 50.0 Mc.

(c) There is a significant difference in the propagation characteristics of frequencies in the 50-54 Mc band particularly for investigation of the F-2 layer ionospheric mode of propagation. Frequencies near 50 Mc are more favorable than those higher in the band. Even during periods of exceptionally high sunspot activity, the maximum usable frequency (M.U.F.) is only occasionally as high as 50 Mc. During the recent sunspot maximum and the last preceding one, amateur observations have shown that only rarely has the M.U.F. pene-trated to the 51-52 Mc region. Thus, the establishment of the "A1 only" segment at 50.9-51.0 Mc would not provide anything approaching optimum frequencies for experimentation with ionospheric propagation in the 50-54 Mc band.

9. The Commission has given careful consideration to all comments filed in this proceeding and has evaluated them as to the soundness of the reasons expressed in the various arguments. Although some of the comments submitted were mere expressions of preference, by far the majority contained well reasoned, sound arguments having consid-

erable merit.

10. In considering the arguments concerning disruption of present operations which would result to one group or the other, depending on the outcome of the proceeding, the Commission does not feel that equipment cost considerations alone is a sufficient argument. In the instant case both sides make the claim of being put to additional trouble and expense should the decision be unfavorable to their interests. This has the net result of cancelling the respective arguments. In any event, it should be emphasized that in any rule change, the most im-

Hereinafter referred to as the League.

portant factor is that of benefit to the service, within the framework of the public interest, convenience or necessity. Thus in this case the prime factors to be taken into consideration are those relating to television interference and to experimentation, including long distance weak signal contacts, domestic and foreign.

11. The Commission is led to conclude that the additional interference to and from television which would be caused. should those amateurs now utilizing A3 emission near the low end of the 50-54 Mc band move up 100 kc, would be minimal. Such a move amounts to only 2½ percent of the total band, or only 10 percent of the lower 1 Mc where the majority of operations in this band is said to take place. Since a move of this order should not create a serious hardship, it would appear that, here again there is no clear-cut advantage favoring either side. In addition, A3 operators would not be deprived of the use of the 100 kc segment but merely restricted as to the type of emission permitted in that segment. In the 144-148 Mc band, television interference is not a factor.

12. With respect to experimentation, one of the principal factors which led the Commission previously to conclude that "A1 only" segments should be established in the subject bands at all was that, in general, amateurs using telegraphy are more interested in making long distance contacts than in conducting the more conversational type of communication. Thus, having segments free from domestic telephony would provide increased opportunity for amateurs using telegraphy to experiment and to make long distance weak signal contacts. The comments from both the A1 and the A3 amateurs were in general agreement that frequencies in the low end of the 50-54 Mc band are more likely to be suitable for F2 propagation than are frequencies higher in the band. The divergence of opinion lies in evaluating the extent of improved conditions at the lower end of the band. The contention of the A1 proponents has been somewhat substantiated by figures provided to the Commission by the National Bureau of Standards. These statistics show, for three U.S. locations during November. 1957, the percentages of time that the F2-4000 Km maximum usable frequency exceeded each of the frequencies 50.05 Mc and 50.95 Mc. Without analyzing the findings in detail, two conclusions may be drawn:

(a) The amount of time in which the M.U.F. exceeded the lower frequency during this month was of short duration:

(b) However, the percentage of time this occurred at 50.05 Mc was, at a minimum, 2.4 times that at 50.95 Mc.

Hence, it may be fairly stated that the lowest end of the 50-54 Mc band has better conditions for experimentation than segments farther up the band.

13. In light of the foregoing, the Commission is led to conclude that the establishment of the "A1 only" segment at 50.0-50.1 Mc would be in the public interest because of the presence of the combined factors set forth below:

(a) Those A1 amateurs who have gone to the trouble and expense of constructing specialized antennas for experimenting with weak signal modes of propagation, will not be required to move. This will enable them to enjoy the benefits available during optimum F2 conditions.

(b) There would be little if any additional mutual interference to and from television which would stem from an upward move of 100 kc by the telephony

group.

(c) Clearing the lower 100 kc of the band of A3 operations in this country may enhance the ability of those A3 operators who move up in the band to contact foreign stations operating A3 in the low frequency segment.

14. However, with respect to the 144-148 Mc band the Commission is led to conclude that the "A1 only" segment should be established as originally ordered, i.e.: at 147.9-148.0 Mc. The controlling factor is that in this band there is no difference in the propagation characteristics throughout the band. Thus, the Al operators cannot claim an experimental advantage. As to those who have specialized antennas tuned to the low end of this band, it should be noted that they may continue to utilize A1 emission on the antenna and equipment design frequency and will be no worse off than they are now. Henceforth amateurs who enter the specialized field involving weak signal communications with A1 emission in the 144-148 Mc band should design their equipment to operate in the clear segment provided herein for this purpose.

15. In view of the foregoing, it is concluded that the establishment of the "A1 only" segments at 50.0-50.1 Mc and 147.9-148 Mc will be in the public interest.

16. Disposition of petitions: In addition to the petition of the League which initiated this proceeding, the Commission has received three other petitions from individual amateurs and groups of amateurs requesting amendments of the rules concerning the types of emission permitted in the 50-54 Mc or 144-148 Mc band.

Mr. Ernest H. Adolph, 42 Brooksbie Road, Bedford, Massachusetts, K1DRX, petitioned to amend § 12.22(d) to permit Technician Class licensees to operate in the 145-146 Mc band using A1 or F1 emission only and to amend § 12.111(h) to permit the use of only A1 or F1 emission in the 50.0-50.1 Mc band;

Mr. Raymond P. Bilger, 142 N. Hawthorne Ave., Langhorne, Pennsylvania, W3TDF, with approximately fifty endorsements by other amateurs, petitioned for amendment of Part 12 so as to permit the use of only types A0, A1, A2, and F1 in the frequency range 144.0 to 144.4 Mc. The petition would thus delete emission types A3, A4, F2 and F3, presently permitted in this band; and,

Mr. Gordon E. Simkin, 1599 Austin Avenue, Idaho Falls, Idaho (formerly of Loma Linda, California) petitioned for amendment of Part 12 so as to permit A5 emission in the range 51 Mc to 54 Mc.

With respect to the latter petition, the Commission is unable to conclude that such action would be in the public interest on the following basis:

(a) Present rules relating to television broadcast station assignments below 216 Mc require a minimum separation of 60 miles between stations proposing to operate on adjacent channels;

(b) There are presently operating on TV Channel 2 (which is adjacent to the amateur band in question) approximately 38 stations whose service areas largely cover the major metropolitan

areas of the country; and,

(c) The width of the band in question is insufficient to support more than a few simultaneous television transmissions by amateurs even under petitioner's proposal to limit the bandwidth to one megacycle. Thus, because of the hazard of interference to the reception of television broadcast stations and the reduction of spectrum space within the band for other amateur activities which would result, the Commission finds that permitting the use of A5 emission in the 51-54 Mc band would not be in the public interest.

That part of Mr. Adolph's petition concerning Technician Class privileges in the 144-148 Mc band is now moot since the Commission, in its Report and Order in Docket No. 12728 amended the rules so as to largely effect the proposal therein. With respect to the proposals of Mr. Adolph and Mr. Bilger to restrict the types of emission which may be used in segments of the 50-54 Mc or 144-148 Mc band, in view of the comments received in this proceeding and the resultant action taken-herein, the Commission will not engender further action at this time. After sufficient experience has been gained from operation under the rules as amended hereby, the Commission will entertain further petitions of this nature.

17. Accordingly, it is ordered, Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that Part 12 of the Commission's rules is amended, effective June 6, 1960, as set forth below.

18. It is further ordered, That the petition of Mr. Gordon E. Simkin for amendment of § 12.111(h) is denied.

19. It is further ordered, That the petitions of the American Radio Relay League, Inc., Mr. Ernest H. Adolph and of Mr. Raymond P. Bilger for amendments of §§ 12.22(d), 12.111(h), and 12.111(i) of the rules are granted to the extent that the determinations herein are consistent therewith and are, in all other respects, denied.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154, Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Adopted: April 27, 1960.

Released: April 29, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE, Acting Secretary.

Paragraphs (h) and (i) of § 12.111 are amended to read as follows:

§ 12.111 Frequencies and types of emission for use of amateur stations.

(h) 50.0 to 54.0 Mc using type A1 emission, 50.1 to 54.0 Mc using types A2, A3, A4 and narrow band F1, F2 and F3 emissions, 51.0 to 54.0 Mc using type $A\emptyset$ emission, and on frequencies 52.5 to 54.0 Mc using types $F\emptyset$, F1, F2 and F3 emission.

(1) 144.0 to 148.0 Mc using type A1 emission, and 144.0 to 147.9 Mc using types AØ, A2, A3, A4, FØ, F1, F2 and F3 emission.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4063; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12913; FCC 60-463]

PART 16—LAND TRANSPORTATION RADIO SERVICES

Additional Stations Without Licensed Operators

In the matter of Amendment of Part 11, Industrial Radio Services, and Part 16, Land Transportation Radio Services, and Part 21, Domestic Public Radio Services (other than Maritime Mobile); to provide for the normal operation of certain additional stations without licensed operators; Docket No. 12913.

- 1. On April 13, 1960, the Commission adopted a Report and Order (FCC 60–397) which inter alia amended Part 16, Land Transportation Radio Services, effective April 25, 1960, so as to eliminate the requirement for licensed radio operators at certain base and fixed stations in these services. In bringing the various provisions of Part 16 into conformity with this relaxation in operator requirements, the Commission overlooked the provisions of paragraphs (c) and (d) of § 16.160 concerning station records.
- 2. Paragraph (c), as presently written. is applicable to base stations and fixed stations at which licensed operators are required and concerns the recording of the names of the persons responsible for the operation of the transmitting equipment. Paragraph (d) of § 16.160 applies to base stations at which licensed operators are required and concerns the necessary records when they communicate with other base stations or fixed stations. In each case the phrase "at which licensed operators are required" is used to exempt from the record keeping requirements of the respective paragraphs those stations which may operate unattended pursuant to § 16.154(f). In permitting unlicensed persons to operate these stations, the Commission did not intend to alter existing station record requirements.
- 3. In view of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the public interest, convenience, and necessity will be served by the substitution of the phrase "at which operators (either licensed or unlicensed) are required", for the phrase "at which licensed operators are required" in paragraphs (c) and (d) of § 16.160 and that such amendment of Part 16 should be made effective upon adoption. Authority for these amendments is contained in sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.
- 4. Accordingly, it is ordered, That, effective April 27, 1960, paragraph (c) and (d) of § 16.160 of Part 16, Land Trans-

portation Radio Services, are amended as set forth below.

Adopted: April 27, 1960.

Released: April 29, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

Paragraph (c) and that portion of paragraph (d) preceding subparagraph (1) of § 16.160 are amended to read as follows:

§ 16.160 Station records.

- (c) For base stations and fixed stations at which operators (either licensed or unlicensed) are required, the name or names of the persons responsible for the operation of the transmitting equipment each day, together with the period of their duty.
- (d) For base stations at which operators (either licensed or unlicensed) are required, when they communicate with other base stations or with fixed stations:

[F.R. Doc. 60-4046; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE

Chapter 1—Fish and Wildlife Service,
Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER K—PROCESSED FISHERY PRODUCTS, PROCESSED PRODUCTS THEREOF, AND CER-TAIN OTHER PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 178—UNITED STATES STAND-ARDS FOR GRADES OF FROZEN SALMON STEAKS

On page 1730 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 27, 1960, there was published a notice and text of a proposed new Part 178 of Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations. The purpose of the new part is to issue United States Standards for Grades of Frozen Salmon Steaks under the authority transferred to the Department of the Interior by section 6(a) of the Fish and Wildlife Act of August 8, 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742e).

Interested persons were given until March 26, 1960, to submit written comments, suggestions or objections with respect to the proposed new part. No comments, suggestions or objections have been received, and the proposed new part is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below. This amendment shall become effective at the beginning of the 30th calendar day following the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

Dated: April 29, 1960.

ELMER F. BENNETT,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION, STYLE, AND GRADES

Sec. 178.1 Product description.

178.2 Style of frozen salmon steaks.178.3 Grades of frozen salmon steaks.

DIMENSIONS

178.6 Recommended dimensions.

FACTORS OF QUALITY AND GRADE

Sec. 178.11 Ascertaining the grade.

DEFINITIONS

178.21 Definitions.

LOT CERTIFICATION TOLERANCES

178.25 Tolerances for certification of officially drawn samples.

SCORE SHEET

178.31 Score sheet for frozen salmon steaks.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION, STYLES, AND GRADES

§ 178.1 Product description.

Frozen salmon steaks are clean, wholesome units of frozen raw fish flesh with normally associated skin and bone and are 2.5 ounces or more in weight. Each steak has two parallel surfaces and is derived from whole or subdivided salmon slices of uniform thickness which result from sawing or cutting dressed salmon perpendicularly to the axial length, or backbone. The steaks are prepared from either frozen or unfrozen salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.) and are processed and frozen in accordance with good commercial practice and are maintained at temperatures necessary for the preservation of the product. The steaks in an individual package are prepared from only one species of salmon.

(a) Species. Frozen salmon steaks covered by this standard are prepared from salmon of any of the following

species:

Silver or coho (O. kisutch). Chum or keta (O. keta).

King, chinook, or spring (O. tshawytscha).

Red, sockeye (O. nerka). Pink (O. gorbuscha).

§ 178.2 Styles of frozen salmon steaks.

- (a) Style I—Random weight pack. The individual steaks are of random weight and neither the individual steak weight nor the range of weights is specified. The steaks in the lot represent the random distribution cut from the head to tail of a whole dressed salmon
- (b) Style II—Random weight combination pack. The individual steaks are of random weight and neither the individual steak weight nor range of weights is specified. The steaks in the lot represent a combination of cuts from selected parts of the whole dressed salmon.
- (c) Style III—Uniform weight or portion pack. All steaks in the package or in the lot are of a specified weight or range of weights.

§ 178.3 Grades of frozen salmon steaks.

- (a) "U.S. Grade A" is the quality of frozen salmon steaks that possess good flavor and odor, and that for those factors which are rated in accordance with the scoring system outlined in the following sections the total score is not less than 85 points.
- (b) "U.S. Grade B" is the quality of frozen salmon steaks that possess at least reasonably good flavor and odor, and that for those factors which are rated in accordance with the scoring system outlined in the following sections the total score is not less than 70 points.

frozen salmon steaks that fail to meet the requirements of the "U.S. Grade B."

DIMENSIONS

§ 178.6 Recommended dimensions.

(a) The recommended dimensions of of frozen salmon steaks are not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since dimensions, as such, are not factors of quality for the purpose of these grades. However, the degree of uniformity of thickness among units of the finished product is rated since it is a factor affecting the quality and utility of the product.

(b) It is recommended that the thickness (smallest dimension) of individually frozen salmon steaks be not less than ½ inch and not greater than 1½ inches.

FACTORS OF QUALITY AND GRADE

§ 178.11 Ascertaining the grade.

The grade is ascertained by observing the product in the frozen, thawed, and

(c) "Substandard" is the quality of cooked states and is determined by consideration of the following:

(a) Factors rated by score points. The quality of the product with respect to all factors is scored numerically. Cumulative point deductions are assessed for variations of quality for the factors in accordance with the schedule in Table I, in the frozen, thawed, and cooked states. The total deduction is sub-tracted from the maximum possible score of 100 to obtain the "product score."

(b) Factors governed by "limiting rule". The factors of flavor and odor, in addition to being rated by score points, are further considered for compliance with the "limiting rule" grade requirements of flavor and odor in Table I, as defined under Definitions § 178.21(g) (9) and (10).

(c) Determination of the final product grade. The final product grade is derived on the basis of both the "product score" and the "limiting rule" grade requirements of flavor and odor, per Table I.

TABLE I-SCHEDULE OF POINT DEDUCTIONS FOR FACTORS RATED BY SCORE POINTS!

Şcored factors	Description of quality variation	Deduct
Prozen		
1. General appearance defects	Per occurrence: Slight	1-2 3-4
2. Dehydration	Excessive (Per occurrence) for each 1 sq. inch of surface area For each 1/4 inch above 36" variation tolerance in	δ-10 1 2
4. Uniformity of weight and minimum weight	steak thickness (max. deduction: 6 points). Style I & II—Random weight. For each steak between 2.5 and 3.0 ounces in weight per package, or per pound of product for packages over 1 pound net wt.	4
•	Style III—Uniform weight or portion. For each 0.1 ounce beyond the 0.1 ounce tolerance of the specified portion weight range per 5 lbs, of product.	1
THAWED		
 Workmanship defects: Blood spots, bruises, cleaning, outting, fins, foreign material, collar- bone, girdle, loose skin, pugh marks, sawdust, scales. Color defects: 	Per occurrence: Slight. Moderate. Excessive.	1 2-4 5-8
(a) Discoloration of fatty portion	Slight Moderate Excessive	1-2 3-5 6-10
(b) Discloration of lean portion	Slight Moderate Excessive	1-2 3-5 6-10
(c) Non-uniformity of color	Slight Moderate Excessive	1-2 3-4 5-6
7. Honeycombing	Percent sample area affected: 26 to 50	1 2
COORED	75 to 100	8
8. Texture defect (tough, dry, fibrous, or watery).	Slight	1-2 3-5 6-10
9. Odor 3	Good (A) Reasonably good (B) Substandard (S)	0-2 3-5 6-15
10. Flavor: ²		
(a) Lean portion	Good (A) Reasonably good (B) Substandard (S)	0-2 3-5 6-15
(b) Fatty portion	Good (A) Reasonably good (B) Substandard (S)	0-2 3-5 6-15

1 This schedule of point deductions is based on the examination of sample units composed of: (a) An entire sample package and its contents (for retail sized packages) or (b) a representative subsample consisting of about one pound of salmon steaks taken from each sample package (for institutional sized packages), except that the entire sample package or its equivalent shall be examined for factor 4.

21 Limiting rule" grade requirements of flavor and odor: Salmon steaks which receive over 5 deduction points for odor, or flavor of the lean, or flavor of the fatty portion, shall not be graded above substandard, and those which receive between 3 to 5 points shall not be graded above "U.S. Grade B." regardless of the total product score. (This is a "limiting rule" based on flavor and odor as defined under definitions § 178.21(g) (9) and (10)).

DEFINITIONS

§ 178.21 Definitions.

(a) "Slight" refers to a defect that is scarcely noticeable and may not affect the appearance, the desirability, and/or eating quality of the steaks.

(b) "Moderate" refers to a defect that

is conspicuously noticeable (not seriously objectionable) and does not seriously affect the appearance, desirability and/ or eating quality of the steaks.

(c) "Excessive" refers to a defect that is conspicuously noticeable (seriously objectionable) and seriously affects the appearance, desirability, and/or eating quality of the steaks.

(d) "Occurrence" is defined as each incidence of the same or different types of defects.

(e) "Cooked state" means that the thawed, unseasoned product has been heated within a boilable film-type pouch by immersing the pouch with product in boiling water for 10 minutes. Steaks cooked from the frozen state may require about two additional minutes of cooking.

(f) "Actual net weight" means the weight of the salmon steaks within the package after removal of all packaging material, ice glaze or other protective

coatings.

(g) "Scored factors" (Table I):
(1) "General appearance defects" refer to poor arrangement of steaks, distortion of steaks, wide variation in shape between steaks, greater than normal number of head and/or tail pieces, imbedding of packaging material into fish flesh, inside condition of package, frost deposit, excessive or non-uniform skin glaze, and undesirable level of natural color.

(2) "Dehydration" refers to the appearance of a whitish area on the surface of a steak due to the evaporation of water or drying of the affected area.

(3) "Uniformity of thickness" means that steak thickness is within the allowed 1/8-inch manufacturing tolerance between the thickest and thinnest parts of the steaks within a package or sample unit.

(4) "Uniformity of weight and minimum weight" is defined in Table I. (Portions are designated by "weight range" or "specified weight." The "weight range" of portions bearing "specified weight" designation on containers shall be taken as the "specified weight" plus or minus 0.5 ounce unless otherwise specified.)

(5) "Workmanship defects" refers to appearance defects that were not eliminated during processing and are considered objectionable or poor commercial practice. They include the following: Blood spots, bruises, cleaning (refers to inadequate cleaning of the visceral cavity from blood, viscera and loose or attached appendages), cutting (refers to irregular, inadequate, unnecessary, or improper cuts and/or trimming), fins, foreign material (refers to any loose

parts, of fish or other than fish origin), collar bone, girdle (refers to bony structure adjacent to fin), loose skin, pugh

- marks, sawdust and scales.

 (6) "Color defects":

 (i) "Discoloration of fat portion" means that the normal color of the fat shows increasing degrees of yellowing
- due to oxidation.
 (ii) "Discoloration of lean portion" means that the normal surface flesh color has faded or changed due to deteriorative influences.
- (iii) "Non-uniformity of color" refers to noticeable differences in surface flesh color on a single steak or between adjacent steaks in the same package or sample unit. It would also include color variation of the visceral cavity and skin watermarking.
- (7) "Honeycombing" refers to the visible appearance on the steak surface of numerous discrete holes or openings of varying size.
- (8) "Texture defect" refers to an undesirable increase in toughness and/or dryness, fibrousness, and watery nature

- of salmon examined in the cooked state.
 (9) "Odor" and "flavor:"
 (i) "Good flavor and odor" (essential requirement for Grade A) means that the fish flesh has the good flavor and odor characteristic of the indicated species of salmon, and is free from rancidity and from off-flavors and offodors.
- (ii) "Reasonably good flavor and odor" (minimum requirement for Grade B) means that the fish flesh may be somewhat lacking in the good flavor and odor characteristics of the indicated species of salmon, is reasonably free of rancidity, and is free from objectionable off-flavors and off-odors.
- (iii) "Substandard flavor and odor" (substandard grade) means that the

flavor and odor fail to meet the requirements of "reasonably good flavor and odor."

Lot Certification Tolerances

§ 178.25 Tolerances for certification of officially drawn samples.

The sample rate and grades of specific lots shall be certified on the basis of Part 170 of this chapter (Regulations Governing Processed Fishery Products, 23 F.R. 5064, July 3, 1958).

SCORE SHEET

§ 178.31 Score sheet for frozen salmon steaks.

Label: Size and kind of container: Container mark or identification: Size of lot: Number of packages per master carton: Size of sample: Number of steaks per container: Product style: Actual net weight: (ounces)	
Scored factors	Deductions
Frozen ,	
1. General appearance defects	
Dehydration Uniformity of thickness	
4. Uniformity of weight	
THAWED	
5. Workmanship defects	

COOKED

6. Color defects 7. Honeycombing

Total deductions..... Product score (100—Total deductions)

Flavor and odor rating

Final grade.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4038; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

Bureau of Air Traffic Management

[14 CFR Part 602]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-177]

CODED JET ROUTES

Notice of Withdrawal of Proposal To Modify Coded Jet Route

In a Notice of Proposed Rule Making published in the Federal Register as Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-177 on October 2, 1959 (24 F.R. 7967), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to realign the segment of VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 1 between Portland, Ore., and Seattle, Wash., to coincide with the alignment of VOR Federal airway No. 23. Subsequent to publication of the Notice, VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 93 was established in part between Portland and Seattle via the alignment of Victor 23. This eliminates the requirement for realignment of Jet Route 1-V as proposed.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Notice of Proposed Rule Making contained in Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-

177 is hereby withdrawn.

Sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; of U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 28, 1960.

D. D. Thomas, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4037; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDU-CATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 121 1
FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

In re: Notice of filing of petition for issuance of regulation establishing tolerance for acetone-hydrogen peroxide mixtures in flour and bakery products.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b) (5)), the following notice is issued;

A petition has been filed by The J. R. Short Milling Company, 3753 South May Street, Chicago 9, Illinois, proposing the establishment of a regulation to provide for the use of acetone-hydrogen peroxide mixtures as flour-maturing or bleaching agents and to establish a tolerance of 100 parts per million (0.01 percent) of hydrogen peroxide equivalents for ace-

tone-hydrogen peroxide mixtures when used as a dough conditioner.

Dated: April 28, 1960.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,
Assistant to the Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4026; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121] FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

In re: Notice of filing of petition for issuance of a regulation establishing a tolerance for metaldehyde in or on strawberries.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), the following notice is issued:

A petition has been filed by Commercial Solvents Corporation, Terre Haute, Indiana, proposing the issuance of a regulation to establish a tolerance of zero for metaldehyde in or on strawberries that have been treated with this additive, as directed for the control of slugs.

Dated: April 28, 1960.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,
Assistant to the Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4027; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121] FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

In re: Notice of filing of petition for issuance of a regulation establishing a tolerance for propylene glycol alginate in cream cheese and neufchatel cheese, for which standards of identity have been established in Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, §§ 19.515 and 19.520, respectively.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), the following notice is issued:

A petition has been filed by The Kelco Company, 8225 Aero Drive, San Diego 11, California, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the use of propylene glycol alginate as a stabilizer in cream cheese and neufchatel cheese.

Dated: April 28, 1960.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,
Assistant to the Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4028; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121] FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

In re: Notice of filing of petition for issuance of a regulation establishing a tolerance for 1-(4-amino-2-n-propyl-5-pyrimidinylmethyl)-2-picolinium chloride hydrochloride in medicated chicken feeds.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), the following notice is issued:

A petition has been filed by Merck and Company, Inc., Rahway, New Jersey, proposing the issuance of a regulation to establish a tolerance of not less than 100 parts per million (0.01 percent) nor more than 250 parts per million (0.025 percent) for 1-(4-amino-2-n-propyl-5-pyrimidinylmethyl)-2-picolinium chloride hydrochloride in medicated chick starter and finisher feeds to be used as an aid in preventing outbreaks of coccidiosis, and a zero tolerance in the uncooked edible tissues of treated animals when the feed is used as directed.

Dated: April 28, 1960.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,

Assistant to the Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4029; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

In re: Notice of filing of petition for issuance of regulation establishing tolerance for oxytetracycline-oleandomycin mixtures in medicated swine feed.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), the following notice is issued:

A petition has been filed by Chas. Pfizer and Company, Inc., 11 Bartlett Street, Brooklyn 6, New York, proposing the issuance of a regulation to establish a tolerance of 8.8 parts per million (0.00088 percent) of oxytetracycline activity and 2.2 parts per million (0.00022 percent) of oleandomycin activity (impregnated on a suitable carrier vehicle that is in itself not a food additive) in medicated feed for swine, when fed for the intended purpose of increasing the rate of weight gain and improving feed efficiency; and a zero tolerance in the

uncooked edible tissues of treated animals when the feed is used as directed.

Dated: April 28, 1960.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,
Assistant to the Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4030; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

Saint Elizabeths Hospital [42 CFR Part 305] AUTOPSIES

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given in accordance with section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003) that it is proposed to amend Chapter III, Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations, by the addition of provisions as hereinafter set forth.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments relating to the proposed amendments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted to the Superintendent, Saint Elizabeths Hospital, Washington 25,

D.C., and all such communications received not later than thirty days after the publication of this notice will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments.

Chapter III of Title 42 would be amended by the addition of a new part which would read as follows:

PART 305-AUTOPSIES

Sec. 305.1 Consent to autopsies.

305.2 Authorization for autopsies by Hospital superintendent.

305.3 Restrictions on autopsies.

AUTHORITY: §§ 305.1 to 305.3, inclusive, issued under R.S. 161, as amended August 12, 1958, 72 Stat. 547, 5 U.S.C. 22.

§ 305.1 Consent to autopsies.

An autopsy may be performed on the body of a deceased patient by direction of the superintendent of the Hospital or of an officer or employee of the Hospital designated by him where consented to in writing (a) by the surviving spouse of the decedent; or, if none such, (b) by the next of kin in the order of their relation; Provided, That where consent is granted by a member of a class or relatives consisting of more than one person, the consent of all members of such class shall be

obtained. Documents embodying consent shall be made a part of the clinical record.

§ 305.2 Authorization for autopsies by Hospital superintendent.

Where the person authorized to give consent under § 305.1 cannot be located after diligent search and the Hospital assumes responsibility for burial arrangements, the superintendent of the Hospital may authorize the performance of an autopsy. Such authorization shall be made a part of the clinical record.

§ 305.3 Restrictions on autopsies.

Restrictions or limitations on the performance of or extent of the autopsy imposed by the consenting person or persons or by the decedent during his lifetime shall be observed.

[SEAL] WINFRED OVERHOLZER,
Superintendent,
Saint Elizabeths Hospital.

Approved: April 28, 1960.

EDWARD FOSS WILSON, Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4031; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1959 Rev. Supp. 18]

NIAGARA FIRE INSURANCE CO.

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds

APRIL 29, 1960.

A Certificate of Authority has been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the following company under the Act of Congress approved July 30, 1947, 6 U.S.C., secs. 6-13, as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds. The Certificate of Authority is effective as of May 1, 1960. An underwriting limitation of \$16,-

An underwriting limitation of \$16,-449,000 has been established for the company. Further details as to the extent and localities with respect to which the company is acceptable as surety on Federal bonds will appear in the next revision of Department Circular 570, to be issued as of May 1, 1960. Copies of the circular, when issued may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Accounts, Surety Bonds Branch, Washington 25, D.C.

State in Which Incorporated, Name of Company, and Location of Principal Executive Office

New York, Niagara Fire Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.

[SEAL] JULIAN B. BAIRD,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4042; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1959 Rev. Supp. 17]

CONTINENTAL INSURANCE CO.

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds

APRIL 29, 1960.

A Certificate of Authority has been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the following company under the Act of Congress approved July 30, 1947, 6 U.S.C., secs. 6-13, as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds. The Certificate of Authority is effective May 1, 1960.

An underwriting limitation of \$82-412,000. has been established for the company. Further details as to the extent and localities with respect to which the company is acceptable as surety on Federal bonds will appear in the next revision of Department Circular 570, to be issued as of May 1, 1960. Copies of the circular, when issued, may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Accounts, Surety Bonds Branch, Washington 25, D.C.

State in Which Incorporated, Name of Company, and Location of Principal Executive Office

New York, The Continental Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.

[SEAL] JULIAN B. BAIRD,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4043; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

[1960 Dept. Circular 1041]

4% PERCENT TREASURY CERTIFI-CATES OF INDEBTEDNESS OF SERIES B-1961

Offering of Certificate

MAY 2, 1960.

I. Offering of certificates. 1. The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, invites subscriptions, at par, from the people of the United States for certificates of indebtedness of the United States, designated 4% percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series B-1961, in exchange for the following securities all of which mature May 15. 1960:

4 percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series B-1960

3½ percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1960 3½ percent Treasury Notes of Series B-1960

The amount of the offering under this circular will be limited to the amount of maturing certificates and notes tendered in exchange and accepted. The books will be open only on May 2 through May 4 for the receipt of subscriptions for this issue.

2. In addition to the offering under this circular, holders of the maturing securities are offered the privilege of exchanging all or any part of such securities for 4% percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1965, which offering is set forth in Department Circular No. 1042, issued simultaneously with this circular.

II. Description of certificates. 1. The certificates will be dated May 15, 1960, and will bear interest from that date at the rate of 4% percent per annum, payable semiannually on November 15, 1960, and May 15, 1961. They will mature May 15, 1961. They will not be subject to call for redemption prior to maturity.

2. The income derived from the certificates is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The certificates are subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

3. The certificates will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys. They will not be acceptable in payment of taxes.

4. Bearer certificates with interest coupons attached will be issued in denominations of \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000, \$100,000, \$100,000,000 and \$500,000,000. The certificates will not be issued in registered form.

5. The certificates will be subject to the general regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States certificates.

III. Subscription and allotment. 1. Subscriptions will be received at the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Washington. Banking institutions generally may submit subscriptions for account of customers, but only the Federal Reserve Banks and the Treasury Department are authorized to act as official agencies.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to reject or reduce any subscription, and to allot less than the amount of certificates applied for; and any action he may take in these respects shall be final. Subject to these reservations, all subscriptions will be allotted in full. Allotment notices will be sent out prompty upon allotment.

IV. Payment. 1. Payment at par for certificates allotted hereunder must be made on or before May 16, 1960, or on later allotment, and may be made only in the securities of the three issues enumerated in Section I hereof, which will be accepted at par, and should accompany the subscription. Coupons dated May 15, 1960, should be detached from the maturing securities by holders and cashed when due.

V. General provisions. 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive subscriptions, to make allotments on the basis and up to the amounts indicated by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Federal Reserve Banks of the respective Districts, to issue allotment notices, to receive payment for certificates allotted, to make delivery of certificates on full-paid subscriptions allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive certificates.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

[SEAL] ROBERT B. ANDERSON, Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4044; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[1960 Dept. Circular 1042]

4% PERCENT TREASURY NOTES OF SERIES A-1965

Offering of Notes

MAY 2, 1960.

- I. Offering of notes. 1. The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, invites subscriptions, at par, from the people of the United States for notes of the United States, designated 4% percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1965 in exchange for the following securities all of which mature May 15, 1960:
- 4 percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series B-1960

3½ percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1960 3½ percent Treasury Notes of Series B-1960

The amount of the offering under this circular will be limited to the amount of maturing certificates and notes tendered in exchange and accepted. The books will be open only on May 2 through May 4 for the receipt of subscriptions for this issue.

2. In addition to the offering under this circular, holders of the maturing securities are offered the privilege of exchanging all or any part of such securities for 4% percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series B-1961, which offering is set forth in Department Circular No. 1041, issued simultaneously with this circular.

II. Description of notes. 1. The notes will be dated May 15, 1960, and will bear interest from that date at the rate of 45% percent per annum, payable semi-annually on November 15, 1960, and thereafter on May 15 and November 15 in each year until the principal amount becomes payable. They will mature May 15, 1965, and will not be subject to call for redemption prior to maturity.

- 2. The income derived from the notes is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The notes are subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.
- 3. The notes will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys. They will not be acceptable in payment of taxes.
- 4. Bearer notes with interest coupons attached, and notes registered as to principal and interest, will be issued in denominations of \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000, \$100,000, \$100,000, \$100,000 and \$500,000,000. Provision will be made for the interchange of notes of different denominations and of coupon and registered notes, and for the transfer of registered notes, under rules and regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury.
- 5. The notes will be subject to the general regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States notes.

III. Subscription and allotment. 1. Subscriptions will be received at the Fed-

eral Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Washington. Banking institutions generally may submit subscriptions for account of customers, but only the Federal Reserve Banks and the Treasury Department are authorized to act as official agencies.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to reject or reduce any subscription, and to allot less than the amount of notes applied for; and any action he may take in these respects shall be final. Subject to these reservations, all subscriptions will be allotted in full. Allotment notices will be sent out promptly upon allotment.

IV. Payment. 1. Payment at par for notes allotted hereunder must be made on or before May 16, 1960, or on later allotment, and may be made only in the securities of the three issues enumerated in section I hereof, which will be accepted at par, and should accompany the subscription. Coupons dated May 15, 1960, should be detached from the maturing securities by holders and cashed when due.

V. General provisions. 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive subscriptions, to make allotments on the basis and up to the amounts indicated by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Federal Reserve Banks of the respective Districts, to issue allotment notices, to receive payment for notes allotted, to make delivery of notes on full-paid subscriptions allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive notes.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

[SEAL] ROBERT B. ANDERSON, Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4045; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

APRIL 25, 1960.

Notice of an application, Serial No. Anchorage 034766 for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 57-6758, on page 6634 of the issue for August 17, 1957. The applicant agency has canceled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 295, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m. on May 31, 1960, relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

HOMER AREA

T. 6 S., R. 13 W., Seward Meridian, Section 27: Lot 9.

Containing 6.61 acres.

L. T. Main, Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4017; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

ALASKA

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

APRIL 26, 1960.

Notice of an application, Serial No. Anchorage 033091, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 57-6053, on page 5902 of the issue for July 25, 1957. The applicant agency has canceled its application, insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 295, such lands will be, at 10:00 a.m. on May 31, 1960, relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

ANCHOR RIVER AREA

T. 5 S., R. 15 W., Seward Meridian,
Section 13: N½SE¼SE½, SW¼SE¼NE¼,
SW¼NE¼NE¼SE½,
NW½NE½SE½,

Aggregating 62.5 acres.

L. T. MAIN, Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4018; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

ALASKA

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

APRIL 26, 1960.

Notice of an application Serial No. Anchorage 033027, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 57–3682, on page 3204 of the issue for May 7, 1957. The applicant agency has canceled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 295, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m. on May 31, 1960 relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

MATANUSKA RIVER VALLEY AREA SEWARD MERIDIAN

T. 20 N., R. 5 E., Section 35: Lots 27, 29, 31, 34, 41, 42, 43, 44. Containing 19.67 acres.

> L. T. MAIN, Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4019; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

3902

NOTICES

ALASKA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The United States Forest Service has filed an application, Serial Number J-011203 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation including the mining laws, mineral leasing laws, and laws pertaining to disposition of materials. The applicant desires the land for Administrative purposes.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 2511, Juneau, Alaska.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

STARRIGAVIN BAY PUBLIC SERVICE SITE

Beginning at witness point meander corner of U.S. Survey #3565 at north end of line, thence due north 1000 feet to corner A, thence N 37° W 2350 feet to corner B, thence due west 1320 feet to corner C (mean high tide). Thence by beach meanders to corner G which is on the old road at the creek mouth and consists of a wooden stake and a pile of rocks, thence by creek meanders to point of beginning, containing approximately 67 acres.

WARNER T. MAY, Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4039; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

ALASKA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The United States Coast Guard has filed an application, Serial Number J-011800 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation, including the mining and mineral leasing laws. The applicant desires the land for a radio transmitter and receiver site, electronic repair shop, and emergency headquarters.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 2511, Juneau, Alaska.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are: Tract B, Tract C, Tract D, and Lot 4 of Tract A, all of United States Survey No. 3263, approximately five miles north of Juneau, Alaska, and containing 31.85 acres, more or less.

WARNER T. MAY, Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4040; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

LOCATION OF LINDBERGH FIELD

Notice of Public Hearin

Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 9(e) of the Federal Airport Act (49 U.S.C. 1108), and in accordance with § 550.10 of the regulations of the Federal Aviation Agency (14 CFR 550.10), that the Federal Aviation Agency will hold a public hearing for the purposes hereinafter set forth.

The City of San Diego, California, has submitted a Project Application under the Federal Airport Act (49 U.S.C. 1101), dated February 29, 1960, requesting funds for the improvement of Lindbergh Field. Pursuant to request therefor duly filed, a public hearing will be held on June 1, 1960, at 10 a.m. in the Hotel U.S. Grant, 326 Broadway, San Diego, California, for the purpose of assisting the Administrator in ascertaining facts relevant to the location of Lindbergh Field insofar as concerns the improvement thereto contemplated by the said Project Application and by the current revision of the National Airport Plan.

Mr. Aubrey A. Edey, Jr., and Mr. Melvin B. Borgeson, Airports Division, Bureau of Facilities and Materiel, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C., are hereby designated as presiding officers pursuant to § 550.10 of the regulations of the Federal Aviation Agency, as amended.

Any person having a substantial interest in the matter and desiring to appear and testify at the hearing shall notify the Federal Aviation Agency, in writing, on or before May 24, 1960. Such notice shall state the interest of such person in the continued aeronautical use of Lindbergh Field at its present location and the approximate amount of time needed to present testimony. In addition, interested persons may also submit written data, views or arguments, in lieu of, or in addition to, matter presented orally. All such notices and written material should be addressed to:

Mr. Aubrey A. Edey, Jr., Airports Division, Bureau of Facilities and Materiel, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 2, 1960.

JOSEPH H. TIPPETS,
Director,
Bureau of Facilities and Materiel.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4046; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 13379, 13380; FCC 60M-742]

BIBLE INSTITUTE OF LOS ANGELES, INC. (KBBI) AND BENJAMIN C. BROWN

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of The Bible Institute of Los Angeles, Incorporated (KBBI), Los Angeles, California, Docket No. 13379, File No. BMPH-5311, Benjamin C. Brown, Oceanside, California, Docket No. 13380, File No. BPH-2687, for construction permits (FM).

On the Examiner's own motion: It is ordered, This 27th day of April 1960, that hearing in the above-entitled matter is continued from April 28, 1960, the date now scheduled for hearing, to a date to be determined at a pre-hearing conference to be held on April 28, 1960.

Released: April 28, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4052; Filed, May 4, 1960; . 8:50 a.m.]

EDWARD C. FRITZ, JR.

Order Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues

In re application of Edward C. Fritz, Jr., Waukegan, Illinois, Docket No. 13480, File No. BPH-2861, req. 102.3 Mc, #272; 1 kw.; 186 feet, for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 27th day of April 1960;

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned and described application;

It appearing that except as indicated by the issues specified below, the instant applicant is legally, technically, and otherwise qualified, but may not be financially qualified, to construct and operate the instant proposal; and

It further appearing that pursuant to section 309(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the Commission, in a letter dated January 7, 1960, and incorporated herein by reference, notified the applicant, and any other known parties in interest, of the grounds and reasons for the Commission's inability to make a finding that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and that a copy of the aforementioned letter is available for public inspection in the Commission's offices; and

It further appearing that the applicant filed a timely reply to the aforementioned letter, which reply has not, however, entirely eliminated the grounds and reasons precluding a grant at this time and requiring an evidentiary hearing on the particular issues hereinafter specified; and

It further appearing in the Commission's letter of January 7, 1960, a copy of which was forwarded to Carol Music, Inc., licensee of Station WCLM, Chicago, Illinois, the Commission pointed out that the operation proposed in the instant application would cause some interference within the 1 mv/m contour of Station WCLM and requested that WCLM advise the Commission if it desired to appear at a hearing on the instant application: but that, WCLM did not reply to the letter and consequently it appears that it does not desire to participate in a hearing on the instant application, and that the interference loss to WCLM will be de minimis and that it appears that a grant of the instant application is in accordance with § 3.313(c) of the Commission's rules which permits assignments in nearby cities provided a 400-kilocycle frequency separation is maintained as exists in the instant case;

It further appearing that the Commission's letter of January 7, 1960, advised the applicant of the necessity of showing the manner in which it is proposed to use assets of the applicant to obtain funds for the construction and initial operation of the proposed station; that the applicant submitted a letter dated January 25, 1960, from one Burton Browne, who agreed to purchase all or part of the applicant's ninety shares in The Gaslight Club of Chicago; that by letter of February 8, 1960, the Commission advised the applicant that it would be necessary to submit a balance sheet or other evidence to establish Mr. Browne's financial ability to purchase the applicant's stock in accordance with the requirements of section III. Page 2. Paragraph 4(d) of FCC Form 301; that statements have been submitted to the Commission by the applicant and by the executive secretary to Mr. Browne to the effect that Mr. Browne has a substantial income and extensive financial interests; but that the required showing has not been submitted by Mr. Browne to establish his financial ability to purchase the applicant's stock in The Gaslight Club; and

It further appearing that the Commission's letter of January 7, 1960, raised a question as to whether the participation of Charles W. Kline as manager and program director of the proposed station would contravene § 3.240 of the Commission rules in view of Mr. Kline's interest as partner in Radio America, applicant for a new FM broadcast station in Chicago, Illinois (BPH-2858) and his connection with other FM broadcast stations proposed by Edward L. Waterman in Forest Park, Illinois (BPH-2845) and M. Earlene Stebbins in Skokie, Illinois (BPH-2828), which proposals involve substantial overlap of 1 mv/m contours: that, in a reply dated January 25, 1960, the instant applicant stated that Mr. Kline's connection with the instant proposal is that of management consultant. is for organizational purposes, and that Mr. Kline has no financial or ownership interests in the instant application; but that the Commission has not been advised as to whether Mr. Kline's participation in the several proposals will involve operation and control thereof; and that the Commission, therefore, is unable to make a determination in this matter on the basis of the information before it and is of the opinion that the question must be resolved in the hearing ordered below; and

It further appearing that after consideration of the foregoing and the applicant's replies, the Commission is still unable to make the statutory finding that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity; and is of the opinion that the application must be designated for hearing on the issues specified below;

It is ordered, That pursuant to section 309(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the instant application is designated for hearing at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether the applicant is financially qualified to construct and

operate the proposed station.

2. To determine the nature of the participation of Charles W. Kline in the instant proposal and other pending proposals, the extent to which such participation will constitute control over the respective proposals, and whether, in the light of Kline's participation in the several pending proposals, a grant of the instant application would be in contravention of § 3.240 of the rules and the Commission's policies promulgated pursuant thereto.

3. To determine in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether the instant application should be granted.

It is further ordered, That to avail himself of the opportunity to be heard, the applicant pursuant to § 1.140 of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

Released: May 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4053; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13455, 13456; FCC 60M-747]

PAUL J. MOLNAR AND OHIO MUSIC CORP.

Order Setting Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Paul J. Molnar, Cleveland, Ohio, Docket No. 13455, File No. BPH-2847; Ohio Music Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, Docket No. 13456, File No. BPH-2890; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 29th day of April 1960, on the Examiner's own motion, that all parties, or their counsel, who desire to participate in the above-captioned proceeding are directed to appear for a prehearing conference pursuant to the

provisions of § 1.111 of the Commission's rules, at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C., at 2:00 p.m., Friday, May 6. 1960.

Released: April 29, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4054; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13222-13251; FCC 60M-753]

MICHIGAN BROADCASTING CO. (WBCK) ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Michigan Broadcasting Company (WBCK), Battle Creek, Michigan, Docket No. 13222, File No. BP-11439, et al., Docket Nos. 13223, 13224, 13225, 13226, 13227, 13228, 13229, 13230, 13231, 13232, 13233, 13235, 13236, 13237, 13239, 13240, 13241, 13242, 13243, 13245, 13246, 13247, 13248, 13249, 13250, 13251; for construction permits.

Pursuant to agreement arrived at during the prehearing conference held on this date, the evidence with respect to Group 3 of the above-entitled proceeding will be heard in three steps:

1. Evidence with respect to the engineering issues.

2. Evidence with respect to the 307(b)

3. Evidence with respect to the remaining issues in the proceeding.

It is further agreed and it is so ordered, This 29th day of April, 1960, that the following dates shall govern:

Step 1

Exchange of additional engi-			
neering data requested by			
the Broadcast Bureau on			
the record during the pre-			
hearing conference	May	12.	1960
Notification of engineering		,	
witnesses desired for cross-			
examination	Mav	17.	1960
Hearing			
		,	
Step 2			
Exchange of exhibits relating	T		1000
to 307(b) issue	June	т.	TAPA

Exchange of exhibits relating to 307(b) issue______ June 1, 1960

Notification of witnesses desired with respect to 307(b) issue______ June 8, 1960

Hearing_____ June 15, 1960

Step 3

It is further ordered, That the hearing with respect to Grade 3 in this proceeding will commence on May 26, 1960, at 10 a.m., in Washington, D.C.

Released: May 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE.

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4055; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

NOTICES

[Docket No. 13481; FCC 60-453]

NATHAN FRANK (WNBE-TV)

Order Designating Proposal for Hearing on Stated Issues

In re proposal filed by: Nathan Frank (WNBE-TV), New Bern, North Carolina, Docket No. 13481; for specification of transmitter and antenna site.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 27th day of

April 1960;

3904

The Commission, having under consideration a proposal to construct a television antenna tower near Richlands, North Carolina, with a height of 1599 feet above mean sea level filed by Nathan Frank, permittee of Television Broadcast Station WNBE-TV, Channel 12, New Bern, North Carolina, on April 20, 1959, pursuant to the Commission's Report and Order in Docket No. 11750, in which the Table of Assignments was amended to assign Channel 12 to New Bern in lieu of Channel 13 and the construction permit for WNBE-TV was modified to specify Channel 12 in lieu of Channel 13, subject to the submission by the permittee of all information necessary for the preparation of revised engineering specifications; and

It appearing that such proposal has been submitted to the Airspace Panel of the Air Coordinating Committee for its consideration in accordance with Part 17 of the Commission's rules, and was disapproved by such Panel on October 30, 1958, on the basis of objections by the Department of the Navy that such proposal would be an unacceptable hazard to Marine aviation activities in the area; and

It further appearing that such proposal was resubmitted to the Washington Airspace Panel by the Commission on September 9, 1959, for its further consideration on the basis of a lesser height (736 feet overall height above ground at the specified site), and was, in turn, returned by such Panel on September 29, 1959, to the Atlanta Regional Subcommittee for consideration; and

It further appearing that the Atlanta Regional Subcommittee, on the basis of the above-mentioned objections by the Department of the Navy reconsidered, but agreed to recommend only that the Commission grant a waiver of the cochannel mileage separation requirement and allow WNBE-TV to construct its television tower in the City of New Bern; and

It further appearing that the Washington Airspace Panel reviewed the Atlanta Regional Subcommittee's findings on December 15, 1959, and February 2, 1960, and, upon such review, voted again to disapprove the WNBE-TV Richlands site proposal for any specific height on the basis of representations by the Department of the Navy that such proposal would be an unacceptable hazard to Marine aviation activities in the area; and

It further appearing that the Department of the Army and the Federal Aviation Agency concurred with the Department of the Navy solely on the basis of

the Navy objections, and that the Department of the Air Force abstained;

It further appearing that in view of the adverse recommendation of the Washington Airspace Panel which was transmitted to the Commission by letter dated February 11, 1960, the Commission is unable to approve the engineering specifications proposed by Nathan Frank without hearing.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to sections 4(i) and 4(j) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-captioned proposal filed by Nathan Frank is designated for hearing at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether the antenna structure and site proposed by Nathan Frank having the geographical coordinates Latitude 34°54′08″, Longitude 77°31′40″ with an overall height of 1,599 feet above mean sea level (1,546 feet above ground) would constitute a hazard to air navigation.

2. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issue, whether issuance of the modified construction permit as proposed would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

It is further ordered, That the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Army and the Federal Aviation Agency are hereby made parties to the proceed-

It is further ordered, That to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, Nathan Frank, the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Army, and the Federal Aviation Agency, pursuant to \$1.140(c) of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, shall within 20 days of the mailing of this order file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on

Released: May 2, 1960.

the issues specified in this order.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4056; Filed, May 4, 1960;

8:51. a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 12095, 12096; FCC 60-438]

WAYNÉ M. NELSON AND FRED H. WHITLEY

Memorandum Opinion and Order Reopening Record for Further Hearing on Stated Issues

In re applications of Wayne M. Nelson, Concord, North Carolina, Docket No. 12095, File No. BP-10936; Fred H. Whitley, Dallas, North Carolina, Docket No. 12096, File No. BP-10987; for construction permits.

1. The Commission has under consideration: (a) Commission Decision, May 20, 1959 (26 FCC 539); (b) Petition for Reconsideration or Rehearing, by Wayne M. Nelson, filed June 17, 1959; (c) Petition for Rehearing, by Spartan Radiocasting Company, filed June 22, 1959;

(d) Petition for Reconsideration, by Chief, Broadcast Bureau, filed June 24, 1959

2. The history of the proceeding having been fully set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Decision of May 20, 1959, is incorporated herein by reference and will not be repeated. The Commission has fully considered the matters of record in this proceeding, the Commission Decision of May 20, 1959, the petitions enumerated in paragraph 1 of this document and the pleadings responsive thereto.

3. The petition of Nelson (1(b), supra), briefly stated, moves that the Commission reopen the proceeding and receive evidence concerning the need for the WSPA service to be lost in the interference area vis-a-vis the need for Whitley serivce to be gained in such area, or re-evaluate the present record in the light of section 307(b) of the Communications Act and award the construction permit to Nelson. The petition of Spartan Radiocasting Company (par. 1(c), supra) seeks to have the Commission reopen the proceeding and receive evidence concerning the need for the WSPA service to be lost in the interference area vis-a-vis the need for Whitley service to be gained in such area. The petition of the Broadcast Bureau (par. 1(d), supra) seeks a rephrasing of the existing decision to clarify along the lines suggested by Bureau certain aspects of the "Huntington Park rule."

4. Upon consideration of the petitions, the Commission is of the opinion that sufficient reason for rehearing and/or reconsideration has been made to appear.

Accordingly, it is ordered, This 27th day of April 1960 that the petition of Nelson to the extent that it requests reopening of the record for reception of further evidence is granted, and in all other respects denied; the petition of Spartan Radiocasting Company is granted; and the petition of the Broadcast Bureau is denied; and

It is further ordered, That the Commission Decision, May 20, 1959 (26 FCC 539) is rescinded and set aside; and

It is further ordered, That the proceeding herein is reopened and remanded to the Hearing Examiner to take evidence at a date to be designated subsequently on the following additional issues and to render a Supplemental Initial Decision on the basis thereof, to wit:

7. To determine the type and character of program service rendered by Station WSPA; whether such program service meets the requirements of the population and area which would lose such service as a result of interference from the proposal of Fred H. Whitley herein; and the extent to which the programming of other existing standard broadcast stations meet the requirements of the interference area.

8. To determine the type and character of the program service proposed to be rendered by Fred H. Whitley; whether such program service would meet the requirements of the populations and areas which would gain service upon grant of such proposal; and the extent to which the programming of other existing standard broadcast stations meet

the requirements of the areas thus gained.

9. To determine in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to Additional Issues 7 and 8 whether the Examiner's previous award to Whitley should be affirmed or reversed in favor of Nelson.

And, it is further ordered, That Spartan Radiocasting Company shall have the burden of proof and the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence insofar as the determination of the type and character of Station WSPA's programming service and its suitability for the WSPA-Whitley interference area is concerned; and the remainder of the burden of proof and proceeding with the introduction of evidence shall be upon Fred H. Whitley.

Released: May 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4057; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13394; FCC 60M-755]

OIL TRANSPORT CO., INC. Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In the matter of Oil Transport Co., Inc., 2837 Tchoupitoulas Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, Docket No. 13394; order to show cause why there should not be revoked the License for Radio Station WC-5908 aboard the vessel "Susan Houghland."

On the oral request of counsel for the Chief of the Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau: It is ordered, This 29th day of April 1960, that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding is scheduled for 2:30 p.m., May 2, 1960 at the offices of the Commission.

Released: May 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION.

[SEAL]

BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4058; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:51 s.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13430-13432; FCC 60M-743]

ROGUE VALLEY BROADCASTERS, INC. (KWIN) ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Rogue Valley Broadcasters, Inc. (KWIN), Ashland, Oregon, Docket No. 13430, File No. BP-11939; Medford Broadcasters, Inc. (KDOV), Medford, Oregon, Docket No. 13431, File No. BP-12683; R. W. Hansen (KCNO), Alturas, California, Docket No. 13432, File No. BP-13055; for construction permits.

It appearing that at a prehearing conference in the above-captioned proceeding held on April 19, 1960, certain agreements were reached as set forth on the record which should be formalized Communications A c t of 1934, in an order:

Communications A c t of 1934, amended, the said permittee is ent

It is ordered, This 27th day of April 1960, that:

- 1. The applicants' affirmative cases shall be presented by written, sworn exhibits:
- 2. On or before May 31, 1960 preliminary drafts of the applicants' exhibits on engineering matters shall be exchanged among the parties;
- 3. On June 21, 1960 a further prehearing conference will be held, commencing at 10:00 a.m., to discuss the necessity for exchange of additional engineering data, in light of the exchange of preliminary drafts; to discuss the necessity for the introduction of evidence, non-engineering in nature; and to establish further dates for the exchange of exhibits on such non-engineering matters;
- 4. On July 12, 1960, the applicants shall exchange among the parties, with copies to the Hearing Examiner, final drafts of written, sworn exhibits, constituting their affirmative engineering cases;
- 5. On or before July 20, 1960, counsel for the applicants and respondent Mc-Clatchy Newspapers shall notify each other as to those engineering witnesses whom they desire to be made available for cross-examination, such notification to be given in the light of the position of counsel for respondent KUGN; Inc. relative to the production of witnesses.

It is further ordered, That the hearing herein, heretofore scheduled to commence on May 31, 1960, is continued to July 27, 1960, at 10:00 a.m. in the offices of the Commission at Washington, D.C.

Released: April 28, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
BEN F. WAPLE,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4059; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13479]

HOWARD E. SETTLE

Order Designating Matter for Hearing on Stated Issues

In the matter of Howard E. Settle, 21564 Mission Boulevard, Hayward, California, Docket No. 13479; suspension of restricted radiotelephone operator permit.

The Commission having under consideration the suspension of the Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit, RP-12C6909, issued to Howard E. Settle; and

It appearing that acting in accordance with the provisions of section 303(m) (2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above named party filed with the Commission a timely application for a hearing on the Commission's Order dated January 7, 1960, suspending until July 1, 1961 his restricted radiotelephone operator permit; and

It further appearing that under the provisions of section 303(m)(2) of the

Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said permittee is entitled to a hearing in this matter and that upon the filing of a timely written application therefor, the Commission's Order of Suspension is held in abeyance until the conclusion of the proceeding in this matter.

It is ordered, This 25th day of April 1960, that the matter of the suspension of the Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit of Howard E. Settle is hereby designated for hearing before a Commission Examiner at a time and place to be specified by a further order on the following issues:

- 1. To determine whether Howard E. Settle, licensee of radiotelephone station WA6792 on board the vessel "Loafer" on August 30, 1959, refused to make the radiotelephone installation available for inspection by an authorized representative of the Commission in violation of section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended and § 8.101(a) of the Commission's rules.
- 2. To determine whether on August 30, 1959, while Edward M. Smith, Jr., an engineer assigned to the Commission's San Pedro, California Marine Office, was acting in his authorized capacity, Howard E. Settle, interfered with the inspection of said station by committing an unjustified act of violence upon the person of said Engineer.

3. In the light of the evidence adduced in the preceding issues to determine whether the terms of the original Order of Suspension should be made final, rescinded, or modified.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be transmitted by Registered Mall, Return Receipt Requested, to Howard E. Settle and that he notify the Commission in writing within 10 days after the receipt of this order that he will appear in person or by counsel at said hearing.

Released: April 28, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
BEN F. WAPLE,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4060; Filed, May 4, 1960;

8:51 a.m.] [Docket No. 13479; FCC 60M-750]

HOWARD E. SETTLE Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of Howard E. Settle, 21564 Mission Boulevard, Hayward, California, Docket No. 13479, suspension of restricted radio-telephone operator permit.

It is ordered, This 29th day of April 1960, that James D. Cunningham will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to be held June 28, 1960, in San Francisco, California. And it is further ordered, That the said hearing will be conducted simultaneously with the hearing in pending proceedings (Docket No. 13350) involving an order to show cause why respondent's license for

Radio Station WA-6792 aboard the vessel "Loafer" should not be revoked.

Released: April 29, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION.

[SEAL] B

BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4061; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 1394]

CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC POWER CO.

Notice of Land Withdrawal, California; Modification

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 60-3928, appearing at page 3825 of the issue for Saturday, April 30, 1960, the seventh line of land description under "Mount Diablo Meridian, California" is corrected by the insertion of a comma. As corrected, the line reads: "Sec. 35: N½, N½SW¾".

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 307]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

MAY 2, 1960.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

titions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 62851. By order of April 27, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Sheridan Express Co., a Corporation, McKeesport, Pa., of that portion of the operating rights set forth in Certificate No. MC 75349, issued by the Commission March 11, 1943, to O. R. Stonebraker, Armstrong Mills, Ohio, authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of general commodities, excluding household goods, commodities in bulk, and other specified commodities, between points in Belmont County, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Brooke, Hancock, Marshall, and Ohio Counties, W. Va. Walter E. Shaeffer, 44 East Broad Street, Columbus 15, Ohio, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 62976. By order of April 29, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Charles J. Kotwica, doing

business as Rome Express, Rome, N.Y., of Permits Nos. MC 111601 and MC 111601 Sub 1, issued December 12, 1950 and November 24, 1952, respectively, to S. Webster Reid, Auburn, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of: wood and metal burial caskets, from Syracuse, N.Y., to points in New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, and Delaware; wine, from Canandaigua, N.Y., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Connecticut (except those west of Connecticut Highway 104), Vermont, Rhode Island, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky, and the District of Columbia: metal silos and accessories, from Middletown, Ohio, and Westfield, Ill., to Red Creek, N.Y.; concrete, wood and metal silos, materials and accessories thereof, from Red Creek, N.Y., to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Maryland, Delaware, Connecticut, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Maine: packaged potato, corn and flour products, from Cato and Rochester, N.Y., to points in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, and District of Columbia; and from Rochester, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York 6, N.Y., for transferee. Charles A. Cusick, 124 Genessee Street, Auburn, N.Y., for transferor.

No. MC-FC 63000. By order of April 28, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Hain Trucking Co., Inc., North Hollywood, Calif., of Certificate No. MC 5178, issued June 29, 1949, to Francis F. Hain, doing business as Hain Trucking, North Hollywood, Calif., authorizing the transportation of: general commodities, excluding household goods, commodities, in bulk, and other specified commodities between points in Los Angeles, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Los Angeles Harbor and Long Beach Harbor, Calif. Alfred Henry Bodhaine, 11418 Ventura Boulevard, North Hollywood, Calif., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63013. By order of April 29, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to E. Willis Avery, Rose Avery, Millard Avery, Raymond Avery and Frank Avery, doing business as Avery Transportation, Beachlake, Pa., of Certificate No. MC 95622, issued April 24, 1941, to Wayne Automobile Transportation Company, Hawley, Pa., authorizing the transportation of: Passengers and their baggage, between Honesdale, Pa., and Hawley, Pa. James Rutherford, 921 Court Street, Honesdale, Pa., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63062. By order of April 28, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to H. Kohnke, Inc., Kingston, N.Y., of Certificate in No. MC 24264, issued August 3, 1950, to Henry Kohnke, New York, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of: new furniture, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York

and New Jersey within 100 miles of Columbus Circle, New York, N.Y. Edward M. Alfano, 2 West 45th Street, New York 36, N.Y., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63068. By order of April 28, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to George C. Garrison, 821 Diane Court, Marengo, Ill., of Permit in No. MC 35872, issued February 2, 1955, to Nathan Lawson, doing business as Nathan Lawson Transfer, 201 North Pierpont, Rockford, Ill.; authorizing the transportation of: new uncrated furniture, including pianos and sewing machines, between Rockford, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota,

Missouri, and Wisconsin.

No. MC-FC 63147. By order of April 27, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Armin Weinmann & Sons, Inc., West Babylon, N.Y., of the operating rights set forth in Certificates Nos. MC 79491 and MC 79491 Sub 1, issued by the Commission October 20, 1955, and February 20, 1959, respectively, to Armin Weinmann, doing business as A. Weinmann, West Babylon, N.Y., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of pianos, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut and New Jersey, of pianos, uncrated, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rockland, Orange, Dutchess, Putnam, and Westchester Counties. N.Y., and organs, organ and piano parts. and organ and piano benches, all uncrated, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey and Connecticut. Morris Honig, 150 Broadway, New York 38, N.Y., for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4023; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Ex Parte No. MC-59]

MOTOR CARRIER OPERATION IN THE STATE OF HAWAII

MAY 2, 1960.

Oral hearing in the matter is assigned before Commissioner Rupert L. Murphy on May 9, 1960, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., United States Standard Time, (10:00 o'clock a.m., District of Columbia Daylight Saving Time), at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission. Washington, D.C. The Commission's order of February 26, 1960, in this matter provides that any interested person may submit for consideration by the Commission written statements of data views, and argument respecting these matters by filing three copies thereof with the Commission at its office at Washington, D.C., not later than May 15, 1960. At the request of interested persons the time for filing the written statements is extended to May 23, 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4047; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-4015]

CONSOLIDATED DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

APRIL 29, 1960

In the matter of Trading on the American Stock Exchange In the Common Stock, Par Value 20¢ per share of Consolidated Development Corporation (formerly known as Consolidated Cuban Petroleum Corporation); File No. 1–4015.

The common stock, par value 20 cents per share of Consolidated Development Corporation (formerly known as Consolidated Cuban Petroleum Corporation), being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, May 2, 1960, to May 11, 1960, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4022; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

DEWITT AUCTION, DEWITT, ARKANSAS, ET AL.

Deposting of Stockyards

It has been ascertained, and notice is hereby given, that the Dewitt Auction, Dewitt, Arkansas, originally posted on February 23, 1959, as being subject to the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), and the Jefferson Livestock Market, Jeffer-

son, Iowa, originally posted on June 11, 1959, as being subject to said act, no longer come within the definition of a stockyard under the act for the reason that they are no longer being conducted or operated as public markets, and are, therefore, no longer subject to the provisions of the act.

Notice or other public procedure has not preceded promulgation of the foregoing rule since it is found that the giving of such notice would prevent the due and timely administration of the Packers and Stockyards Act and would, therefore, be impracticable and contrary to the public interest. There is no legal warrant or justification for not deposting promptly a stockyard which is no longer within the definition of that term contained in said act.

The foregoing is in the nature of a rule granting an exemption or relieving a restriction and, therefore, may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. This notice shall become effective upon publication in the Federal Register.

(42 Stat. 159, as amended and supplemented; 7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.)

Done at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of April 1960.

DONALD L. BOWMAN, Chief, Packers and Stockyards Branch, Livestock Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4025; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Commodity Credit Corporation SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

April 1960 Monthly Sales List; Amendment

The price listing for the Commodity Credit Corporation Monthly Sales List for April 1960 is amended, effective April 26, 1960, as set forth below, pursuant to the policy of Commodity Credit Corporation issued October 12, 1954 (19 F.R. 6669) by changing the price of No. 1 S. E. Runner peanuts from 18.35 cents per pound to 18.60 cents per pound.

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 407, 63 Stat. 1055; 7 U.S.C. 1427, sec. 208, 63 Stat. 901)

Issued: April 29, 1960.

CLARENCE D. PALMBY, Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4048; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Federal Maritime Board

[Docket No. 869]

PACIFIC COAST-HAWAII AND AT-LANTIC/GULF-HAWAII GENERAL INCREASES IN RATES

Notice of Supplemental Order

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Maritime Board has entered, on April 21, 1960, the following Thirty-First Supplemental Order to the original order in this proceeding, dated September 10, 1959, which appeared in the Federal Register of September 23, 1959 (24 F.R. 7656):

Thirty-first supplemental order. It appearing that, by the original order, as amended, in Docket No. 869 served September 11, 1959, the Board instituted an investigation into and concerning the reasonableness and lawfulness of the rates, charges, regulations, and practices stated in certain schedules between Pacific Coast ports and Hawaii as well as between Atlantic and Gulf ports and Hawaii; and

It further appearing that said original order, as amended January 7, 1960, provides in part that no change shall be made in rates or other matters which were changed by said tariff schedules, until this investigation has been terminated by final order of the Board, unless otherwise authorized by special permission of the Board; and

It further appearing that on April 8, 1960, Atlantic and Gulf/Hawaii Conference filed Application No. 18 seeking authority to publish, post and file, on 1 day's notice, a consecutively numbered revised page to F.M.B.-F. No. 20 in order to add the following item:

Note 1. Weights, measurements, and identifications to be furnished by shipper in writing prior to loading into Carrier's vessels. Heavy Lift Charges will be assessed at 50 percent of the charges shown in Rule No. 9 and Rule No. 8 is not applicable.

Note 2. Unless sooner extended or modified rate expires with Dec. 31, 1960.

It further appearing that the Board having found good cause therefor has on April 21, 1960, granted special permission to publish such change on not less than 1 day's notice under Special Permission No. 3829; such special permission to be without prejudice to the right of the Board to suspend such schedule within the notice period, either upon receipt of protest thereto or upon its own motion;

It is ordered, That the original order herein is modified to the extent necessary to permit the publication and filing of the change covered by such Special Permission No. 3829; and

It is further ordered, That any rates, charges, regulations and practices set forth in the schedule filed pursuant to such special permission shall be subject to the investigation and hearing herein to the same extent as the rates, charges,

regulations and practices under schedules cancelled thereby, and that the special permission granted hereby shall be without prejudice to the Board's determination as to the lawfulness of the rates established pursuant hereto; and

It is further ordered, That copies of this order shall be filed with said tariff schedule in the Office of the Federal Maritime Board, and

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order shall be forthwith served upon all protestants and respondents herein; and that this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: May 2, 1960.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

JAMES L. PIMPER, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4049; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

N. V. STOOMVAART MAATSCHAPPIJ "NEDERLAND" ET AL.

Notice of Agreements Filed With the Board for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreements have been filed with the Board for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (39)

Stat. 733, 46 U.S.C. 814):

(1) Agreement No. 7661-3, between N. V. Stoomvaart Maatschappij "Nederland" and Koninklijke Rotterdamsche Lloyd, N. V., modifies approved Nedlloyd Line joint service agreement (No. 7661, as amended), by extending the trading area thereof to include the trade (1) in both directions between Atlantic Coast ports of the United States and Canada and U.S. Gulf of Mexico ports, on the one hand, and Portuguese and Spanish Atlantic ports and Port Said, on the other hand; (2) in both directions between Pacific and Atlantic Coast ports of the United States and Canada and U.S. Gulf of Mexico ports, on the one hand, and Portugese and Spanish Atlantic ports, on the other hand; and (3) from Great Lakes ports of the United States and Canada to ports in the Mediterranean Sea, including Portuguese, Spanish Atlantic ports, Casablanca and Adriatic and Black Sea ports, Suez, Port Said, ports in the Red Sea, Gulf of Aden, Arabian Sea, India and Pakistan, Ceylon, Burma, ports in the Persian Gulf, Thailand, Indo-China and Philippine Islands.

(2) Agreement No. 8260-5, between the member lines of the Mediterranean-U.S.A. Great Lakes Westbound Freight Conference, modifies the basic agreement of that conference (No. 8260, as amended), which covers the trade from all ports served on the Mediterranean Sea, from Gilbraltar to Port Said, including Marmara, Black Sea and Adriatic ports, and from Iberian Peninsular ports, North African ports, including Morocco, all inclusive, to U.S. ports of the Great Lakes, by direct call or transshipment. The purpose of the modification is to provide for the absorption by the member lines of all or part of the shippers cost of transportation of cargo from a port of origin within the trade

covered by the conference agreement to a loading port of a member line within such trade, the extent of the absorption to be set forth in the conference tariff:

(3) Agreement No. 8356-A, between Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Limited and Seaford Shipping Co. (Pty) Limited, provides for pooling and sharing of revenues as between the parties with respect to cargo carried by them under their joint service arrangement, approved Agreement No. 8356, in the trade between U.S. Pacific Coast ports and ports in South and East Africa.

Agreement No. 8356-A-1, modifies the agreement, described above, to provide for the inclusion (1) of the ports of. Tanga and Mtwara in the list of ports to be covered by the pooling arrangement; and (2) of a provision for the termination of the agreement upon final settlements thereunder.

Interested parties may inspect these agreements and obtain copies thereof at the Regulation Office, Federal Maritime Board, Washington, D.C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register, written statements with reference to any of these agreements and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: May 2, 1960.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

James L. Pimper, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4050; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

AMERICAN-BRAZILIAN SUPPLIERS, INC., AND LUSK SHIPPING CO., INC.

Notice of Agreement Filed With the Board for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreement has been filed with the Board for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (39 Stat. 733, 46 U.S.C. 814):

Agreement No. 8453, between American-Brazilian Suppliers, Inc., New York, N.Y., and Lusk Shipping Company, Inc., New Orleans, La., is a cooperative working arrangement under which the parties will perform freight forwarding services for each other.

Interested parties may inspect this agreement and obtain copies thereof at the Regulation Office, Federal Maritime Board, Washington, D.C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register, written statements with reference to the agreement, and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: May 2, 1960,

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

James L. Pimper, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4051; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES

Issuance to Various Industries

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of learners (29 CFR Part 522), and Administrative Order No. 527 (24 F.R. 9274) the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under Section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.11) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.24, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Calumet Garment Co., 913 East Chicago Avenue, East Chicago, Ind.; effective 4-13-60 to 4-12-61 (men's sport and dress trousers).

Devil Dog Manufacturing Co., Inc., Zebulon, N.C.; effective 4-25-60 to 4-24-61 (children's boxer shorts and longies).

E & W Manufacturing Co., Illmo, Mo.; effective 4-30-60 to 4-29-61 (men's and boys' dungarees and overalls; ladies' and girls' jeans).

Ecru Manufacturing Co., Ecru, Miss.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (men's cotton work shirts).

Edmonton Manufacturing Co., Edmonton, Ky.; effective 4-13-60 to 4-12-61 (men's single pants, shirts, coveralls, jackets).

Empire Dress Co., 53 East Northampton Street, Wilkes-Barre, Pa.; effective 4-13-60 to 4-12-61 (women's dresses).

The Falmouth Co., Falmouth, Ky.; effective 4-13-60 to 4-12-61 (work clothing—dungarees and pants).

Fem Wear Manufacturing Co., 701 Whaley Street, Columbia, S.C.; effective 4-15-60 to 4-14-61 (children's dresses).

Little Star Frocks, Inc., Walnut and Orchard Streets, Bridgeton, N.J.; effective 4-18-60 to 4-17-61 (children's dresses).

Monticello Manufacturing Co., Inc., Monticello, Miss.; effective 4-24-60 to 4-23-61. (men's cotton work trousers).

(men's cotton work trousers).
Pontotoc Manufacturing Co., Pontotoc,
Miss.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (cotton
work shirts).

Spring Hope Manufacturing Co., Inc., Spring Hope, N.C.; effective 4-25-60 to 4-24-61 (children's sport shirts).

Stately Lady Nitewear, Inc., Mt. Holly Road, Charlotte, N.C.; effective 4-24-60 to 4-23-61 (ladies' and children's cotton nitewear).

Wentworth Manufacturing Co., 148 East Darlington Street, Florence, S.C.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (women's cotton house dresses).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

C.B.S. Dress Co., 101-117 Third Street, Henderson, Ky.; effective 4-28-60 to 4-27-61; 10 learners (ladies' cotton dresses).

Hampton Industries, Inc., Hampton, Ark.; effective 4-18-60 to 4-17-61; six learners (men's outerwear—jackets).

Sara E. Dress Co., West Mill Street, Elysburg, Pa.; effective 4-18-60 to 4-17-61; six learners (ladies' dresses).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Diane Co., Inc., D/B/A, Clinton Garment Co., 1058 South Fourth Street, Clinton, Ind.; effective 4-15-60 to 10-14-60; 20 learners (men's lined and unlined jackets, etc.).

The H. D. Lee Co., Inc., Sulphur Springs, Tex.; effective 4-11-60 to 10-10-60; 80 learners (pants).

Mode O'Day Corp., Plant No. 9, 419 East South Street, Hastings, Nebr.; effective 4-13-60 to 10-12-60; 10 learners (ladles' blouses).

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.66, as amended).

Newton Glove Manufacturing Co., Inc., Newton, N.C.: effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61; 10 percent of the total number of machine stitchers for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton work gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.44, as amended).

Claussner Hoslery Co., Plant No. 2, Seamless Division, 30th and Adams Streets, Paducah, Ky.; effective 4-13-60 to 10-12-60; 30 learners for plant expansion purposes (seamless).

Excel Hosiery Mills, Inc., 203-205 Hart Street, Union, S.C.; effective 4-14-60 to 4-13-61; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Lawler Hosiery Mills, Inc., 301 Bradley Street, Carrolton, Ga.; effective 4-18-60 to 4-17-61; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (children's seamless).

turnover purposes (children's seamless).

Peerless Hosiery Co., North Wilkesboro,
N.C.; effective 4-18-80 to 4-17-81; 5 percent
of the total number of factory production
workers for normal labor turnover purposes
(misses' and children's anklets).

Peerless Hosiery Co., West Jefferson, N.C.; effective 4-18-60 to 4-17-61; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (misses' and children's anklets).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended).

Bashore Knitting Mills Co., Schuylkill Haven, Pa.; effective 4-29-60 to 4-28-61; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' cotton-knit underwear).

DeKalb Garment Co., Inc., Fysic (DeKalb County), Ala.; effective 4-18-60 to 4-17-61; sive learners for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' underwear shorts).

Dixie Belle Textiles, Inc., Lewis St., Gibsonville, N.C.; effective 4-27-60 to 4-26-61; 5 percent of the total number of factory

production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' and children's slips).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended).

Nani Sportswear Ltd., 1270 Ala Moana, Honolulu, Hawaii; effective 4-22-60 to 4-21-61; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of at least 85 cents an hour for the first 320 hours and not less than 90 cents an hour for the remaining 160 hours (men's and women's sportswear).

Pattonsburg Manufacturing Co., Pattonsburg, Mo.; effective 4-18-60 to 10-17-60; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of 90 cents an hour (headwear).

Stanberry Manufacturing Co., Stanberry, Mo.; effective 4-18-30 to 10-17-60; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of 90 cents an hour (headwear).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of April 1960.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,

Authorized Representative of the

Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4020; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES

Issuance to Various Industries

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of learners (29 CFR Part 522), and Administrative Order No. 524 (24 F.R. 9274) the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under Section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.11) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued

under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.24, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Bamberg Manufacturing Co., Inc., Bamberg, S.C.; effective 5-6-60 to 5-5-61 (ladies' robes).

Blue Bell, Inc., Columbia City, Ind.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (men's and boys' dungarees).

"Bundle O'Joy" Baby Wear Co., 43 South Pennsylvania Avenue, Wilkes-Barre, Pa.; effective 4-27-60 to 4-26-61 (infants' wear).

Burlington Manufacturing Co., Concordia, Mo.; effective 4-19-60 to 4-18-61 (pants, shirts, fackets).

Cowden Manufacturing Co., 109 Mackville Hill, Springfield, Ky.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (men's and boys' denim dungarees and work pants).

Albert Given Manufacturing Co., 1301 West Chicago Avenue, East Chicago, Ind.; effective 4-20-60 to 4-19-61 (men's sport and dress trousers).

Glendale Manufacturing Corp., 26 Glendale Avenue, Biltmore, N.C.; effective 4-25-60 to 4-24-61 (ladies' cotton pajamas and gowns).

Harsey Blouse, Inc., Kirmar Park, Wanamie, Pa.; effective 4-25-60 to 4-24-61. Learners may not be engaged at special minimum wage rates in the production of separate skirts (women's and children's blouses).

Honea Path Shirt Co., Simpsonville, S.C.; effective 4-27-60 to 4-26-61 (men's sport shirts).

Industrial Garment Manufacturing Co. of Tennessee, Inc., Erwin, Tenn.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (cotton work pants and shirts).

Linn Manufacturing Co., Linn, Mo.; effective 5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (men's semidress trousers; ladies' slacks).

Mantachie Manufacturing Co., Inc., Itawamba County, Mantachie, Miss.; effective 4-20-60 to 4-19-61 (men's sport shirts).

Mode O'Day Corp., Plant No. 9, 419 East South Street, Hastings, Nebr.; effective 5-7-60 to 5-6-81 (ladies' blouses and sportswear). The Newton Co., Newton, Miss.; effective

5-1-60 to 4-30-61 (men's slacks).

J. H. Rutter-Rex Manufacturing Co., Inc.,
Franklinton, La.; effective 4-22-60 to 4-21-61
(cotton work pants).

Sandye Shirt Corp., Portland, Tenn.; effective 4-20-60 to 4-19-61 (men's and boys' sport shirts).

Tompkinsville Garment Co., Tompkinsville, Ky.; effective 4-25-60 to 4-24-61 (men's cotton trousers; men's, women's and children's cotton denim dungarees).

Vandling Manufacturing Co., Vandling, Pa.; effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61 (ladies' dresses).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Barad Lingerie Company of Salem, Salem, Mo.; effective 5-4-60 to 5-3-61; 10 learners (ladles' cotton sleepwear).

Chapman Manufacturing Co., 111 Northeast Third Street, Mineral Wells, Tex.; effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61; 10 learners (maternity wear).

Emporia Garment Co., Inc., 415 South Main Street, Emporia, Va.; effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61; 10 learners (dresses).

Landress-Smith Corp., Hoschton, Ga.; effective 4-20-60 to 4-19-61; 10 learners (men's and boys' sport slacks).

3910 NOTICES

McEwen Manufacturing Co., McEwen, Tenn.; effective 4-22-60 to 4-21-61; 12 learners (men's and boys' overalls and dungarees).

McEwen Manufacturing Co., McEwen, Tenn.; effective 4-22-60 to 4-21-61; 3 learners (children's playsuits).

Modelrite Dress Co., 147 Chestnut Street, Dunmore, Pa.; effective 4-22-60 to 4-21-61;

five learners (women's dresses).
San-Dar Dress Co., 618-620 Washington
Avenue, Jermyn, Pa.; effective 4-29-60 to 4-28-61; four learners (ladies' dresses and

Sunnyside Frocks, Inc., 123 East Diaz Avenue, Nesquehoning, Pa.; effective 4-20-60

to 4-19-61; 10 learners (children's dresses).
Susan Garment Inc., 424 Guilford Street,
Lebanon, Pa.; effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61; 10 learners (ladies' blouses, dusters, and dresses).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Bărad Lingerie Co. of Salem, Salem, Mo.; effective 4-22-60 to 10-21-60; 15 learners (ladies' cotton sleepwear).

Glen of Michigan, Div., Rhea Manufacturing Co., 1430 Military Street, Port Huron, Mich.; effective 4-20-60 to 10-19-60; 50 learners. Learners may not be engaged at special minimum wage rates in the production of separate skirts (women's apparel,

misses' dresses, etc.).
International Latex Corp., LaGrange, Ga.; effective 4-22-60 to 10-21-60; 100 learners (brassieres).

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.66, as amended).

Racine Glove Co., Inc., Rio, Wis.; effective 5-5-60 to 5-4-61; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (work gloves).

Wells Lamont Corp., Hugo, Okla.; effective 4-19-60 to 4-18-61; 10 percent of the total number of machine stitchers for normal

labor turnover purposes (work gloves).
Wells Lamont Corp., 801 East Main Street, Brownsville, Tenn.; effective 5-2-60 to 5-1-61; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (children's and men's fabric gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.44, as amended).

Kale Knitting Mills, Inc., Mebane, N.C.; effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Mars Hosiery Co., Inc., Asheville, N.C.; effective 4-22-60 to 4-21-61; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (fullfashioned, seamless).

Rockford Textile Mills, Inc., McMinnville, Tenn.; effective 4-21-60 to 4-20-61; five percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Rockwood Hoslery Co., Rockwood, Tenn.; effective 5-2-60 to 5-1-61; five percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended).

J. E. Morgan Knitting Milis, Inc., 205 Center Street, Tamaqua, Pa.; effective 4–27–60 to 4–26–61; five percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' underwear).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended).

Henry I. Siegel Co., Inc., Coats and Vests Dept., Bruceton, Tenn.; effective 4-25-60 to 10-24-60; 100 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of sewing machine operating, final pressing, hand sewing, and finishing operations involving hand sewing, each for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of 90 cents an hour for the first 280 hours and not less than 95 cents an hour for the remaining 200 hours (supplemental certificate) (men's and boys' sport coats and vests).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration . thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGIS-TER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 28th day of April 1960.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD. Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4021; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property **ELEONORE HAHN AND ELEONORE LALLINGER**

Intention To Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32(f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property located in Washington, D.C., including all royalties accrued thereunder and all damages and profits recoverable for past infringement thereof, after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., and Property

Eleonore Hahn, Vienna, Austria; \$38.11 in the Treasury of the United States

Eleonore Lallinger, Munich, Germany; \$114.35 in the Treasury of the United States. Forty-five per cent (45%) of all royalties payable or to become payable to the Attorney

General of the United States pursuant to License No. A-1304, as amended, issued by the Attorney General to Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, for the publication of a book entitled Reele Funktionen, by Hans Hahn; one-fourth thereof to Eleonore Hahn and three-fourths thereof to Eleonore Lal-

Vesting Order No. 500A-221: Claim No.

Executed at Washington, D.C., on April 28, 1960.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL]

PAUL V. MYRON. Deputy Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4033; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

HILDEGARDE FRANKE SCHULZ Intention To Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32(f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Hildegarde Franke Schulz, Magdeburg, Germany; Claim No. 58808; \$2,383.02 in the

Treasury of the United States. Frida Lydia Lucie Masck, Hamburg, Germany; Claim No. 58809; \$2,383.02 in the Treasury of the United States.

Maria Brigitta Quirin, Elmshorn, Germany; Claim No. 58810; \$2,883.02 in the Treasury of the United States.

Kurt Max Stoltzke, Elmshorn, Germany; Claim No. 58811; \$2,383.02 in the Treasury of the United States.

Vesting Orders Nos. 446 and 1143.

Executed at Washington, D.C., on April 29, 1960.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL]

PAUL V. MYRON. Deputy Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F.R. Doc. 60-4034; Filed, May 4, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—MAY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during May.

5 CFR Page	14 CFR—Continued	Page	39 CFR	Page
6 3849	PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
4 CED	601		12	3855
6 CFR	602	3898	21	3855
50 3835	1.5. OFD		24	3855
481 3835	15 CFR		27	3855
482 3835	372		41 CFR	
502 3883	373	3836	50-202	3853
7 CFR	380		l .	5055
922 3849	381	3852	42 CFR	
953 3835	21 CFR		305	3899
			43 CFR	
14 CFR	120		PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
26 3849	121 3837		576	3892
40 3850	1410		724	
41 3850	146c	3838	795	3892
46 3850	Proposed Rules:	2040	2084	3892
507 3836, 3850, 3851, 3883			46 CFR	
602 3851, 3883	121	2030		0000
608 3836	24 CFR		365	3839
609 3884, 3888	221	3852	47 CFR	
617 3852	261		3	3892
Proposed Rules: 296 3856	292a		12	3893
297 3856		3000	16	3895
507 3858	33 CFR		50 CFR	
600	204	3883	178	3895
		5000	*!V-200244440700000000000000000000000000000	5055